



Nanofiltration vs. reverse osmosis for the removal of emerging organic contaminants in water reuse

Victor Yangali-Quintanilla^{a,b,*}, Sung Kyu Maeng^{a,c}, Takahiro Fujioka^a, Maria Kennedy^a, Zhenyu Li^b, Gary Amy^{a,b}

^aUNESCO-IHE, Institute for Water Education, Delft, The Netherlands

Email: victor.yangali@kaust.edu.sa, victor.yangali@gmail.com

^bKAUST, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

^cKorea Institute of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 131, Cheongryang, Seoul, 130-650, The Republic of Korea

Received 3 September 2010; Accepted 3 January 2011

ABSTRACT

Reverse osmosis (RO) in existing water reuse facilities is a water industry standard. However, that approach may be questioned taking into consideration that “tight” NF can be equal or “better” than RO. NF can achieve the same removals of RO membranes when dealing with emerging organic contaminants (pharmaceuticals, pesticides, endocrine disruptors and others). Experiments using 18 emerging contaminants were performed using membranes NF200 and NF90 at bench-scale units, and for a more complete study, results of NF and RO pilot and full-scale experiments were compared to our experimental results. The removal results showed that NF can remove many emerging contaminants. The average removal by tight NF was 82% for neutral contaminants and 97% for ionic contaminants. The average removal by RO was 85% for neutral contaminants and 99% for ionic contaminants. Aquifer recharge and recovery (ARR) followed by NF can effectively remove emerging contaminants with removals over 90% when loose NF membranes are used.

Keywords: Water reuse; Nanofiltration; Reverse osmosis; Emerging contaminants

*Corresponding author.