

Evaluating water purification at household level in India

Benedikt M. Aumeier^{a,*}, Xin Jiang^a, Suzana Djeljadini^a, Patrick Smeets^b, Süleyman Yüce^a, Christian Kazner^c, Matthias Wessling^{a,d}

^aChair of Chemical Process Engineering, Aachener Verfahrenstechnik, RWTH Aachen University, Forckenbeckstr. 51,

52074 Aachen, Germany, Tel. +49 241 80 90596; Fax: +49 241 80 92252; emails: benedikt.aumeier@avt.rwth-aachen.de (B.M. Aumeier), xin.jiang@rwth-aachen.de (X. Jiang), suzana.djeljadini@avt.rwth-aachen.de (S. Djeljadini),

sueleyman.yuece@avt.rwth-aachen.de (S. Yüce), matthias.wessling@avt.rwth-aachen.de (M. Wessling)

^bKWR Watercycle Research Institute, P.O. Box 1072, 3430 BB Nieuwegein, The Netherlands, email: patrick.smeets@kwrwater.nl ^cInstitute of Ecopreneurship, University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, Gründenstrasse 40, 4132 Muttenz, Switzerland, email: christian.kazner@fhnw.ch

^dDWI Leibniz Institute for Interactive Materials, Forckenbeckstr. 50, 52074 Aachen, Germany

Received 3 December 2016; Accepted 4 April 2017

ABSTRACT

Household water treatment systems play an important role in safe drinking water supply in India as safety barrier at point of use and contribute to improved public health on short and medium term. In this study, three household water purifiers that based on different principles were investigated in order to determine whether and under which conditions they can safeguard the microbial safety of drinking water. The water purifiers consisted of the following treatment trains: (i) activated carbon (AC), ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis, AC and UV irradiation; (ii) AC and UF, and (iii) AC, passive chlorine dosage and AC. They were examined in a two-phase challenge test using bacteria (Escherichia coli) and bacteriophages (MS2) in a laboratory environment. Under normal operation conditions filtering tap water, mean microbial reductions of 4–6 \log_{10} and 0–3 \log_{10} for *E. coli* and MS2 phages, respectively, were observed that therefore partly comply with WHO requirements. Reduction of protozoa (Cryptosporidium oocysts) was estimated based on size exclusion or chlorine exposure to be $\geq 2 \log_{10}$ (membrane-based size exclusion) and $\leq 2 \log_{10}$ (chlorine-based disinfection), respectively. To further determine their applicability for filtering water sources with higher pollution load, tap water with increased loads of organic and suspended matter simulating turbid river water (which is beyond the intended use of the systems) was studied. Only the multi-stage treatment was able to remove organics and turbidity to ≥89%. Both tested membrane-based systems suffered from rapid and severe irreversible fouling when challenged with high turbidity whereas the chlorine-based system maintained the production rate, however, at the expenses of a lower physicochemical quality. Hence, none of the tested systems was able to produce water of satisfying physicochemical and microbial quality at sufficient quantities from raw water with turbid river water quality. Therefore, it is suggested introducing mandatory standardized testing protocols and certification of household water purifiers specifying the usage conditions.

Keywords: Point of use water purification; Challenge test; Disinfection; Emerging economies

1944-3994/1944-3986 © 2017 Desalination Publications. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Presented at the 13th IWA Specialized Conference on Small Water and Wastewater Systems & 5th IWA Specialized Conference on Resources-Oriented Sanitation, 14–16 September, 2016, Athens, Greece.