The impact of lubricants used in the rubber industry on the quality of generated wastewater. Recycling of lubricants in the production process
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abstract
The study presents research on the impact of lubricants on the quality of wastewater generated in a plant producing rubber hoses and the possibility of recovering and returning these agents to the production process. The research was carried out at the Hutchinson Żywiec 2 plant (Southern Poland). There were six different lubricants with different performance properties tested. The lubricants from the Rheolease series with the numbers 4834, 2544G, 3241 DV, and 487LG produced by Performance Fluid (Performance Fluids Ltd., Unit 1, Hodge Bank Business Park, Reedyford Road, Nelson BB9 8TF, United Kingdom) and the lubricants from the Struktol series with the numbers XP6253 and XP6245 produced by Schill+Seilacher (Schill+Seilacher GmbH, Schoenaicher Str. 205, 71032 Boeblingen, Germany) were tested when dissolved in deionized water. It was observed that the lowest concentrations of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and non-ionic surface-active agents (SAAs) were achieved in the aqueous solution containing Struktol XP6245. However, due to unfavorable process parameters (unpleasant odor), the Rheolease series lubricants were used in the technological process. Tests conducted on actual wastewater containing these lubricants showed that for Rheolease 487LG lubricant, the lowest COD and non-ionic SAAs concentrations were achieved, measuring 283 and 144 mg/L, respectively. Furthermore, for the same recycled lubricant, lower COD concentrations were obtained compared to the new lubricant, measuring 28,600 and 46,530 mg/L, respectively.
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1. Introduction
Irrespective of the sector, the extraction of rubber from molds or the detachment of rubber from mandrels constitutes a pivotal phase in the rubber product molding process during vulcanization. Modern anti-adhesive agents facilitate the swift, effortless, and clean disengagement of rubber components from molds or steel mandrels, sparing operators excessive exertion while safeguarding the integrity of the products. In instances where parts fail to separate adequately from molds, manufacturers can anticipate shortages, unanticipated downtimes, escalated material expenditures, augmented labor, transportation, and energy outlays, in addition to heightened strain on equipment and increased intricacies in the processes. As a result, lubricants assume a paramount role in the rubber industry.

Lubricants are employed in the vulcanization process for the following purposes:

- As a coating lubricant for steel mandrels, enhancing slip and facilitating the application of rubber hoses by mitigating frictional resistance. Moreover,
they provide protection against mechanical harm to the rubber during the manual application of hoses to mandrels.

- As releasing agents for rubber after the vulcanization procedure. They shield the rubber from adhering to the surface of steel mandrels, averting instances of rubber adhering to the mandrels, thereby simplifying removal after vulcanization process.

Improving the quality of rubber release agents allows manufacturers to produce more parts with reduced cycle times and lower scrap rates [1,2].

Regrettably, owing to their function, these agents are characterized by substantial surfactant content, resulting in industrial wastewater from rubber processing establishments carrying elevated concentrations of toxic substances that prove deleterious to aquatic ecosystems. The presence of surfactants in effluents channeled into biological wastewater treatment plants can disrupt their operation, possibly leading to the demise of activated sludge and halting the wastewater treatment process. While surfactants are generally nontoxic to mammals, they exert significant toxicity on aquatic organisms, including microorganisms in the activated sludge of biological wastewater treatment facilities. Concentrations of surfactants exceeding 0.313 mg/L have been shown to cause foam-induced damage to activated sludge [3,4].

Surface-active agents are divided into ionic surfactants (anionic, cationic, ampholytic) and non-ionic surfactants. In order for a manufacturing plant to discharge post-process wastewater into the sewage system, it must ensure that the wastewater has the right parameters. In the case in question, the lubricants caused a high content of non-ionic surfactants. Therefore, in order to reduce the concentration of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and non-ionic surface-active agents (SAAs) in the discharged wastewater, it is necessary to select for the production process a lubricant that will cause the lowest possible content in the wastewater. Nonetheless, the wastewater has to be properly treated before being discharged into the sewage system.

Known methods used to treat such wastewater are coagulation [5], chemical oxidation [6], sorption [7], flotation [8], biodegradation, membrane processes [9] or evaporation [10]. Depending on the substances that are in the wastewater, these methods can vary in effectiveness.

The challenge for the rubber industry is the selection of anti-adhesive agents used during the production cycle in a manner that minimizes their presence in the generated wastewater. In contemporary times, the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development presents manufacturing enterprises with substantial challenges in aligning with its objectives. Consequently, it is imperative to curtail pollution, rationalize water resource consumption, and implement circular economy principles across all dimensions [11].

The objective of this research endeavor was to appraise diverse lubricants, juxtapose their performance attributes, and evaluate their influence on the characteristics of the generated wastewater.

2. Materials and methods

The research consisted of three stages:

- Testing fresh, unused lubricants;
- Analyze of wastewater obtained from washing vulcanized rubber hoses after using various lubricants;
- Testing of the selected recycled lubricant.

2.1. Fresh mandrels lubricants

Six different lubricants from two manufacturers were selected for the study:

- Performance Fluid (Performance Fluids Ltd., Unit 1, Hodge Bank Business Park, Reedyford Road, Nelson BB9 8TF, United Kingdom)
- Schill-Seilacher (Schill-Seilacher GmbH, Schoenaicher Str. 205, 71032 Boeblingen, Germany)

These lubricants are generally available on the market and dedicated specifically to the rubber industry. These release agents are polymer blends and polyol block copolymers. The list of the tested measures and their features is presented in Table 1 [12].

Table 1
List of lubricants used in the test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Producer</th>
<th>Type of lubricant</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Performance fluid</td>
<td>Rheolease 4834</td>
<td>Appearance: light yellow fluid, solubility in water: complete, relative density 1.06; Acute toxicity: <em>Daphnia magna</em> Test OECD 202 EC 50 24 h &gt; 1,500 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Performance fluid</td>
<td>Rheolease 2544G</td>
<td>Appearance: white gel, solubility in water: complete, relative density 1.15; Acute toxicity: <em>Daphnia magna</em> Test OECD 202 EC 50 24 h &gt; 1,500 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Performance fluid</td>
<td>Rheolease 3241 DV</td>
<td>Appearance: yellow viscous liquid, solubility in water: complete: relative density 1.27; Acute toxicity: <em>Daphnia magna</em> Test OECD 202 EC 50 24 h &gt; 1,500 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Performance fluid</td>
<td>Rheolease 487LG</td>
<td>Appearance: light yellow wax solubility in water: complete, relative density 1.05; Acute toxicity: <em>Daphnia magna</em> Test OECD 202 EC 50 24 h &gt; 1,500 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Schill+Seilacher (Germany)</td>
<td>Struktol XP6253</td>
<td>Appearance: light yellow wax, solubility in water: complete, relative density 1.05; Acute toxicity: <em>Daphnia magna</em> Test OECD 202 EC 50 24 h &gt; 1,500 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Schill+Seilacher (Germany)</td>
<td>Struktol XP6245</td>
<td>Appearance: light yellow fluid solubility in water: complete, relative density 1.10; Acute toxicity: <em>Daphnia magna</em> Test OECD 202 EC 50 24 h &gt; 1,500 mg/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The research consisted in analyzing the concentrations of COD and non-ionic surfactants (non-ionic SAAs) in new, unused lubricants. COD is an arbitrary indicator of the content of organic and inorganic compounds in the analyzed solution and can be a determinant of sewage toxicity. In order to perform the tests, identical samples of solutions containing 100 g of each lubricant dissolved in 5 L of distilled water were prepared. An equal amount of each lubricant was measured using a digital scale BLOW JS13 0.1 – 3000g. Samples analysis was performed in the accredited SGS Polska laboratory in Pszczyna using the following methods: spectrophotometric PN-ISO 15705:2005 (A) and the method of continuous flow analysis (CFA) with spectrophotometric detection PN-EN ISO 16265:2012 (A), (NR).

2.2. Wastewater from the process of washing vulcanized rubber hoses

The research took place in production hall No. 3 at the Hutchinson Żywiec 2 plant. This area houses 8 steam autoclaves used for the vulcanization of rubber hoses, as well as 6 machines dedicated to washing the rubber hoses following the vulcanization process. To assess the concentrations of specific substances, wastewater samples were gathered from a collection sump. This sump serves as a central point where wastewater from all washing machines within Production Hall No. 3 converges after the washing procedures.

At this designated collection point, wastewater was gathered from all washing stations within Production Hall No. 3. This collection was facilitated by an automatic sampling device known as an autosampler, Teledyne ESCO Avalanche 6712. This device is designed to automatically collect 0.5 L of sewage every hour, allowing for the accumulation of up to 24 samples. In total, 24 samples were obtained for analysis, representing an average daily sampling.

The collected samples were sent to the accredited SGS laboratory in Pszczyna, where the COD and non-ionic SAAs values were determined. These parameters were tested using the following methods: spectrophotometric PN-ISO 15705:2005 (A) and the method of continuous flow analysis (CFA) with spectrophotometric detection PN-EN ISO 16265:2012 (A), (NR).

2.3. Recycled lubricant

The recycled lubricant tested is Rheolease 487LG. This rubber release agent proved to be the most favorable one in the previous trials, which is why this agent was selected for the next test.

In the course of regular plant operations, surplus lubricant is known to trickle from rubber mandrels, accumulating within the dripping tubs located beneath the bottom trolley. Within these receptacles, a composite mixture forms, comprising both pristine agent predating the vulcanization process, as well as spent agent after vulcanization. This superfluous blend is subsequently amassed within steel barrels and managed as waste material. As a component of the conducted study, a singular 200 kg barrel underwent mechanical filtration and was subsequently used again for the production process. This measure was taken to evaluate the applicability of the lubricant procured through this method.

Within the scope of the study, solutions were meticulously prepared by dissolving 100 g of fresh lubricant in 5 L of distilled water, alongside an analogous formulation featuring 100 g of recycled lubricant within the same 5 L of distilled water. Subsequently, the samples, thus prepared, were dispatched to the accredited SGS Laboratory located in Pszczyna. The objective of this submission was to facilitate the quantification of concentrations pertaining to noteworthy COD and non-ionic SAAs parameters. The assessment of these parameters was executed employing the subsequent methodologies: the spectrophotometric approach as delineated in PN-ISO 15705:2005 (A), supplemented by the continuous flow analysis (CFA) technique featuring spectrophotometric detection as specified in PN-EN ISO 16265:2012 (A), (NR).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Agents for lubricating mandrels

Table 2 presents the results of tests for the content of COD and non-ionic SAAs in samples of solutions of individual lubricants in distilled water.

The summary presented in the table above shows that the lowest concentrations of COD and non-ionic SAAs are contained in the Struktol XP6245 sample, and the highest concentrations of these parameters characterize the Rheolease 4834 liquid lubricant used so far in the production process. This confirms the observation that during the preparation of samples for testing, the mixture containing Rheolease 4834 showed the greatest foaming, and the resulting foam persisted for a longer time.

The obtained results also show a correlation between the tested parameters. With increased values of COD, also the concentration of non-ionic SAAs in the sample is higher. It can therefore be concluded that the COD in the samples of the tested lubricant solutions results directly from the amount of surfactants contained in them.

The lubricant showing the lowest COD and non-ionic SAAs values, Struktol XP6245 stood out from the other agents with a very specific, unpleasant smell, which made working with it very difficult.

3.2. Wastewater from the washing process of rubber hoses

Table 3 presents the results of testing the content of COD and non-ionic SAAs in wastewater samples from the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sample of lubricant</th>
<th>Chemical oxygen demand (mg/L)</th>
<th>Surface-active agents (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rheolease 487LG</td>
<td>47,020</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rheolease 4834</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>22,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rheolease 3241 DV</td>
<td>32,160</td>
<td>11,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rheolease 2544G</td>
<td>38,710</td>
<td>21,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Struktol XP6253</td>
<td>37,770</td>
<td>11,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Struktol XP6245</td>
<td>28,915</td>
<td>7,887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
process of washing rubber hoses after the vulcanization process. During the first days of testing, the lubricants from position 5 and 6 of Table 3 were disqualified for technological reasons. In the first test, these lubricants turned out to be the most favorable due to environmental conditions, they showed the lowest COD and non-ionic SAAs concentrations. Unfortunately their technological properties did not work in the production process. During operation with the use of these lubricants, the rubber after the vulcanization process strongly adhered to the steel mandrels, making it difficult to remove it. In many cases, the pieces could not be removed at all without damage, and the mandrels had to be cleaned after each vulcanization cycle. Therefore, the continuation of these studies was abandoned and no effluent test results were obtained when using these two lubricants.

The acquired findings further demonstrate a notable disparity in concentrations across distinct parameters, contingent on the type of lubricants used. Notably, COD concentrations within the collected wastewater span from 283 to 1,038 mg/L, while non-ionic SAAs concentrations exhibit a range of 144 to 704 mg/L. Throughout the experimentation, measures were implemented to ensure the consistency of outcomes across various lubricants. This was achieved through the uniform loading of all rubber hose washing machines subsequent to the vulcanization process. To clarify, each washing cycle involved the introduction of 5 complete bags containing rubber hoses into each respective washing machine.

Despite meticulous arrangements, the preservation of identical testing conditions during regular production proved unattainable. As a result, the presented results embody a certain degree of averaged values. Nevertheless, considering the inherent variations within normal production processes, these outcomes can be deemed reliable representations of the routine functioning of the production facility.

3.3. Recycled lubricant

Table 4 shows the results of a sample analysis comparing fresh Rheolease 487LG lubricant and the same recycled lubricant.

Testing the parameters of the recycled lubricant showed its full functionality in the production process. During the use of the recycled lubricant, no characteristics were observed that would negatively affect its suitability in the process. This lubricant spread well and ensured good slippage when applying rubber hoses to steel mandrels, as well as enabling easy removal of pieces from modeling fittings after the vulcanization process.

The comparative analysis between the parameters of the recycled lubricant and its pristine counterpart underscored the environmental benefits of its reuse. Recycled lubricant showcased significantly diminished concentrations of both COD and 5-day biochemical oxygen demand, signifying its positive impact from an ecological perspective. The confirmation of the recycled lubricant’s functionality, coupled with its advantageous influence on process-derived wastewater, constitutes a valuable outcome of the conducted investigation. The potential for lubricant reuse,
rubber hoses was reduced several times from the original values (600–700 mg/L) to the value (130–200 mg/L).

Despite the obtained significant reduction of the analyzed parameters of COD and non-ionic SAAs, the wastewater obtained is still toxic and cannot be discharged directly to sewage systems. In order to be able to transfer this wastewater to the municipal biological treatment plant, it is necessary to pre-treat it and reduce the analyzed parameters to levels safe for the treatment plant, that is, to the non-ionic SAAs value <20 mg/L. The methods that can be used for this purpose are wastewater pretreatment by adsorption with activated carbon or membrane ultrafiltration [13, 14].
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