Influence of hydrolysis, solvent and PVP addition on the porosity of PSF/PUR blend partly degradable hollow fiber membranes evaluated using the MeMoExplorer software
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ABSTRACT

Asymmetric hollow fiber membranes were prepared by a dry/wet phase inversion process. Polysulfone and 2 types of polyurethanes (PUR) (with a different percentage share of ester bonds in PUR structure) were used as membrane-forming materials, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone or N,N-dimethylformamide as a solvent and polyvinylpyrrolidone as a pore precursor addition. The membranes were treated with NaOH solution (hydrolysis process) due to the presence of ester bonds in the PUR structure. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to analyze the morphology of the membranes. The influence of hydrolysis process, the type of solvent and pore precursor addition on the porosity was studied. Differences of the porosity in various membranes were evaluated by the MeMoExplorer software using SEM images.
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1. Introduction

The key factor in the development and application of membranes is the control of its morphology. Membrane pore size and membrane porosity tend to govern membrane morphology and impact membrane performance and membrane fouling. Porous membranes are very important and play a key role in different areas of biomedical application and technology. They are used for example as drug carrier systems, tissue engineering, medical implantable materials and biotechnology (chromatography, protein synthesis) [1–7]. It is known that the morphology of membranes can be changed by using different methods: heat treatment, plasma treatment, pore former or polymer additive, change of solvent or hydrolysis process [7–20].
consists of fully automatic identification, contouring, size measuring and classification of the pores in SEM images of the specimens of the examined membranes. The above-mentioned operations are performed by a MeMoExplorer software system. The system was used, in particular, to estimate the porosity in polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) membranes [28], co-poly-L-lactide-glycolide/polyethersulfone membranes [29] and to show the changes in polysulfone (PSF)/polyurethanes (PUR) blend hollow fiber membranes after the hydrolysis process [30].

This study presents a computer-aided assessment of the porosity of membranes using the MeMoExplorer software. The PSF/PUR blend hollow fiber membranes were obtained by using different casting solutions (2 types of solvents and PURs, PVP addition) and treated with NaOH water solution (hydrolysis process). The SEM images of membranes before and after hydrolysis were analyzed using the MemoExplorer software and the influence of hydrolysis process, type of solvent and PVP addition on porosity was evaluated.

2. Experiments

2.1. Materials

PSF Udel 1700 NT LCD from Dow Corning (United States), M.W. 70 kD; polyurethanes with ester bonds in the structure (different % of ester bonds) (PUR) (synthesized independently using professor Rokicki’s patent); N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) from Fluka (Poland); N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) from Chempur (Poland); PVP from Sigma-Aldrich (United States), M.W. 70 kD; polyurethanes with ester bonds in the structure (different % of ester bonds) (PUR) (synthesized independently using professor Rokicki’s patent); NaOH from POCH; water 18.2 MΩ cm from MiliQ installation from Millipore (Poland); sodium hydroxide (NaOH) from POCH; water 18.2 MΩ cm from MiliQ installation used (United States).

2.2. Hollow fiber membranes preparation

The PSF and PUR were dissolved in NMP or DMF in two different flasks and stirred at 20°C temperature until complete dissolution. Received solutions were mixed in a flask for 24 h. The PSF:PUR weight ratio was constant: 8:2. Concentration of the polymers in NMP or DMF solution was 17% of the total solution’s weight. The compositions of membranes casting solutions are presented in Table 1.

Eight types of membranes were obtained using a dry/wet-spinning, phase inversion technique through the extrusion of polymeric solution. The spinning process was conducted at room temperature. Obtained membranes (20 capillaries, 5.8 cm long) were put into propylenes modules.

2.3. Hydrolysis process

The PSF/PUR membranes were treated with a 1 M NaOH solution using the flowing method. 1 dm³ of NaOH solution was passed through the module passing inside the hollow fibers and flowing through the membrane’s walls to the outside. The flowing time lasted from 1 to 3 d.

2.4. SEM analysis

SEM was used to characterize the morphology of obtained membranes. Hitachi TM-1000 microscope was used. Membranes were broken in liquid nitrogen and coated with a 10-nm gold layer using a sputtering device (EMITECH K 550X).

2.5. Evaluation of porosity using The MeMo Explorer software

The experiments were aimed at the evaluation of:

• the influence of hydrolysis on the porosity in various types of membranes;
• the influence of different solvents on the porosity in various types of membranes;
• the influence of pore precursor addition on the porosity in various types of membranes;
• parameter stability (characterized by the ratio: standard deviation of the porosity coefficient/averaged value of the porosity coefficient) in the series of samples drawn from the membranes.

In the experiments 8 types of membranes before hydrolysis (denoted by #2, #5, #8, #11, #13, #14, #15, #16) and 8 types after hydrolysis (denoted by #2h, #5h, #8h, #11h, #13h, #14h, #15h, #16h) were taken into consideration. The number of SEM images of membrane sections for technical reasons was not constant and varied from 15 up to 30 images per type of membrane. In each image, several sections (regions of interest) were selected for image analysis. The first steps of image analysis, performed by using the MeMoExplorer software, consisted in:

• the selection and contouring of pores;
• the measurement of their surfaces;
• the partition of pores into 8 size-classes (<3, 3–8, 8–20, 20–80, 80–100, 100–150, 150–300, >300 µm);
• the measurement of total areas covered by pores of given classes: \( s_{ij}^{H} \) – before hydrolysis, \( s_{ij}^{H} \) – after hydrolysis, where \( i \) is the index of the membrane, \( j \) is the index of the size-class of pores, \( k \) is the index of the image-segment under examination.
• the calculation of basic statistical parameters:

\[
\bar{s}_{ij} = \text{Mean}_{i}(s_{ij}^{0})
\]

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>PUR</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>PVP addition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSF/PUR-2</td>
<td>PUR 9</td>
<td>NMP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF/PUR-5</td>
<td>PUR 10</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF/PUR-8</td>
<td>PUR 9</td>
<td>NMP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF/PUR-11</td>
<td>PUR 10</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF/PUR-13</td>
<td>PUR 9</td>
<td>NMP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF/PUR-14</td>
<td>PUR 10</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF/PUR-15</td>
<td>PUR 10</td>
<td>NMP</td>
<td>50% of PSF weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF/PUR-16</td>
<td>PUR 10</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ S_{ij}^0 = \text{Mean}_{ij} \left( x_{ij,k}^0 \right) \]  
\[ \Delta^0_{ij} = \text{Std}_{ij} \left( x_{ij,k}^0 \right) \]  
\[ \Delta^H_{ij} = \text{Std}_{ij} \left( x_{ij,k}^H \right) \]

### 3. Results

On the basis of the above-described parameters several summarizing statistics were evaluated. The data have been divided by the area \( S \) of image segments (fixed in all images analyzed by the MeMoExplorer system) in order to be presented as dimensionless porosity coefficients \( \kappa \).

#### 3.1. Influence of the hydrolysis process

For the influence of the hydrolysis process on the porosity of membranes assessment the values \( S_{ij}^0 \) and \( S_{ij}^H \) have been measured. In Fig. 1 several examples of sections of membranes before and after hydrolysis are shown. The differences in fine morphological structure in the pairs of the corresponding types of membranes are remarkable. The calculated values \( S_{ij}^0 \) and \( S_{ij}^H \) (marked as Mean) are presented in pairs in Fig. 2. Moreover, the corresponding standard deviations (Std) to them have been added. This enables a direct comparison of the porosity coefficients in various types of membranes before and after hydrolysis, as well as the evaluation of the accuracy of the comparisons.

An increase in the porosity coefficients occurred in all pairs of membranes except for the \( (5^0, 5^0) \) one. In all cases, the differences are varying within the intervals outlined by the standard deviations, the last being large because of a low number of segments (regions of interest) available in individual samples. Nevertheless, the effect of \( S_{ij}^H \) exceeding \( S_{ij}^0 \) in the examined pairs of specimens remains statistically stable. Similarly, the variations of the mean values of the porosity coefficient in the series of membranes are gathered around their averaged values and kept within the intervals fixed by the averaged standard deviations. This leads to the conclusion that the proposed membranes (before, as well as after hydrolysis) are practically characterized by satisfactory stability of porosity.

#### 3.2. Solvent influence

For the evaluation of solvent influence on the porosity coefficient of membranes the following pairs of membranes were examined: \( (2^0, 5^0), (8^0, 11^0), (13^0, 14^0), (15^0, 16^0) \). The results in Fig. 3 are presented in triplets indicating mean porosity coefficients in pairs of membranes obtained with different solvents, as well as differences between them. Standard deviations as an extension of the corresponding mean values are presented as well. The small positive effect of using NMP as a solvent can be observed only in the pair \( (2^0, 5^0) \), and the effect of using DMF as a solvent can be observed in pairs: \( (8^0, 11^0), (13^0, 14^0) \) and \( (15^0, 16^0) \).

Fig. 1. Pairs of membranes before and after hydrolysis.
3.3. Influence of pore precursor addition

The influence of pore precursor addition on the porosity coefficient was examined for the following pairs of membranes (#2, #13), (#5, #14), (#8, #15), (#11, #16). The results are shown in Fig. 4. Once again, they are presented by four triplets indicating the mean porosity coefficient, extended by the corresponding standard deviations, without or with the addition of pore precursor (PVP). The third element of the triplet presents the difference between the mean values. It can be noted that the positive effect of using pore precursor in the pairs (#2, #13) and (#8, #15) took a significant value.

3.4. Instability coefficients for different types of membranes

The instability of membrane parameters in the series of samples can be characterized by the ratio: standard deviation of the porosity coefficient/averaged value of the porosity coefficient calculated in the set of images of a given type (i) of a membrane. Taking into account that the porosity coefficients $k$ have been calculated for a fixed image area $S$ and the contributions of the pores of different size-classes ($j$) are statistically independent, the instability coefficients could be given directly by the ratios $\Delta S^i/\bar{S}^i$ or $\Delta S^H_i/S^H_i$, where:

$$S^i = \sum_{ij} (S^i_{ij})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3a)

$$S^{ii} = \sum_{ij} (S^{ii}_{ij})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3b)

and

$$\Delta_0^i = \Gamma_{ii}(\Delta_0^i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4a)
\[ \Delta_i^H = \Gamma_0^{ij}(\Delta_{ij}^H) \]  

(4b)

where \( \Gamma_0 \) denotes a geometrical mean (a square-root of the sum of squared components).

However, the comparison of so-defined instability coefficients in different membranes leads to false results because the values \( \Delta_r \) and \( \Delta_i^H \) strongly depend not only on the real instability of membrane parameters but also on the number of samples used for their evaluation. Roughly speaking, the standard deviations decrease with the square-root of the number \( K_i \) of samples taken into consideration. Therefore, the above-mentioned instability coefficients for their comparability should be normalized according to the formulae:

\[ \kappa_{ij}^0 = \sqrt{K_i} \cdot \frac{\Delta_{ij}^0}{S_{ij}} \]  

(5a)

\[ \kappa_{ij}^H = \sqrt{K_i} \cdot \frac{\Delta_{ij}^H}{S_{ij}} \]  

(5b)

The results are presented in Fig. 5. The doublets show the normalized unstability coefficients before and after hydrolysis in 8 types of membranes. The membrane #16\(^H\) occurred the best one from the stability (minimal unstability coefficient) point of view. A stabilizing effect of hydrolysis (lower levels of normalized unstability coefficient in the case of hydrolysis) in all types of membranes is remarkable.

Fig. 4. The influence of pore precursor addition on the porosity coefficient in selected types of membranes.

Fig. 5. Instability coefficients for different types of membranes.
4. Conclusions

The aim of this work was the examination of the influence of the hydrolysis process, the type of solvent and pore precursor addition to the casting solution on the final porosity of membranes. A positive effect of hydrolysis on a statistically significant level of the porosity coefficient increasing was observed. This leads to the conclusion that hydrolysis has an impact on PUR degradation. A spatial evenness of the porosity coefficient in individual specimens and its stability in the series of specimens of the examined membranes on a statistically significant level was observed. The positive effect of using DMF as a solvent in three (out of four) pairs of membranes have been observed. Positive effects of using pore precursor in two (out of four) pairs of examined membranes have been observed. It can be concluded that: (1) the hydrolysis process leads to partial degradation of PUR in the membrane structure and causes the increase in porosity; (2) the type of solvent has an impact on the porosity of the PSF/PUR blend hollow fiber membranes.
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