Comparative study of a conventional solar still with different basin materials using exergy analysis
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\textbf{Abstract}

Among today’s world problems, drinking water shortage is one important thing. Solar distillation is a simple and efficient solution to solve this problem, but the yield produced from solar distillation is low. The incorporation of thermal energy storage materials in the solar still is one of the solutions to enhance the yield. For enhancing the yield, solar stills were fabricated using high thermal conductivity materials and tested on three consecutive days in June 2020 in the same climatic conditions. Three solar stills are single slope solar still with a steel plate (SSS-SP), SSS with a zinc plate (SSS-ZP) and SSS with a copper plate (SSS-CP). The maximum total drinkable water production from the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is equal to 3.35, 3.96 and 4.51 kg/m², respectively. The daily drinkable water production increased by 18.21\% when using zinc plates and 34.63\% when using the copper plate, related to the SSS-SP. The maximum daily exergy efficiency of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP are equal to 1.9\%, 2.39\% and 3.08\%, respectively. The daily exergy efficiency was increased by 26.13\% when using zinc plate and by 61.57\% when using the copper plate, compared to the SSS-SP.
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1. Introduction

Desalination using solar energy is an efficient solution for bringing distilled water, especially in remote areas that lack safe water due to the infrastructure and the no connection to the main national water network [1–3]. On the other hand, a solar distillation system can be a practical and economical way to produce distilled water, because remote areas possess large stocks of saltwater and abundant solar radiation [4]. The traditional solar distillery is one of the simplest types. Research has started direction towards improving techniques for producing freshwater from briny...
water by solar energy, and development of new types of solar distillers and the invention of other materials suitable to be good thermal storage materials [5–7]. Okeke et al. [8] used different sizes of charcoal (fine particles and pieces) in a single slope solar still (SSS). The researchers concluded that charcoal improves SSS performance. Rajvanshi [9] investigated the implementation of various dyes as absorbers materials in the SSS. The outcome confirmed that black naphthylamine dye can be enhanced the performance of the SSS. Sodha et al. [10] did the experiments using dyes (red, violet and black color) in a SSS. Experimental outcome reported that uses of violet and black dyes are suitable as compared to red dye. Akash et al. [11] reported the effect of different absorbing materials: black dyes, black ink and black rubber mat on the thermal performance of the SSS. The researchers found that black dyes had a better rate of production compared to other materials. El-Sebaii et al. [12] tested the effect of different absorbing plates: mica, aluminum, copper and stainless steel on the SSS performance. The researchers found that mica plates had a better production rate than aluminum, copper, and stainless steel plates. Nafey et al. [13] conducted experimental studies of different sizes of black rubber with a thickness of 10 mm and black gravel with a size of 20–30 mm thick in SSS. The results confirmed that black rubber and black gravel improves SSS performance. Murugavel et al. [14] reported the effect of aluminum rectangular fins enclosed with cotton and jute cloth on the performance of the solar distillate. Srivastava and Agrawal [15] researched SSS with porous absorbers and found that productivity was improved by 68% on a clear day, and it was improved by 35% on cloudy days. Shanmugan [16] considered different absorbing materials such as concrete stones, pebbles, and black granite stones on SSS performance. It was found that the SSS with concrete stones gave higher performance compared to other energy storage materials. Shanmuga Priya and Mahadi [17] performed an experimental investigation using black dye at concentrations equal to 20, 30, 50 and 70 ppm. It was concluded that evaporation rates improve when the black dye concentration is from 20 to 50 ppm. Indeed, when the concentration is changed from 50 to 70 ppm, the evaporation rate remains the same. Arjunan et al. [18] published a comparative study of SSS with and without energy storage materials (black granite gravels, pebbles, blue metal stones and paraffin wax). The researchers concluded that the use of black granite gravels was best as compared to others. In addition, its efficiency is 10.06% higher than the SSS. Dumka et al. [19] studied the impact of sand-filled cotton bags on the productivity of SSS. The outcome confirmed that the distillate production was about 3,493 mL/d.m² with sand-filled cotton bags and about 2,717 mL/d.m² without sand-filled cotton bags. The solar still was fabricated using different materials such as plastic [20–25], fiber-reinforced plastic (FRP) [26–36], glass [37–44], acrylic [45–49] copper [50–56], concrete materials [57–59], and solar panel [60–67].

The aim of this experimental study is to improve the output of solar distillation by placing black painted copper and zinc plates. The presence of metal plates at the bottom of the basin enhanced the heat storage capacity. Thus a comparison of three solar distiller’s performance has been carried out. The first distiller is considered as a reference, the second is the modified solar basin with the zinc metal plate, and the third is the modified solar basin with the copper metal plate. These experiments were conducted in the city of El Oued, Algeria, on three consecutive days in June 2020.

2. Experimental setup

Algeria has a huge amount of solar energy because of its unique location (Fig. 1). The mean duration of sunlight in the Algerian lands exceeds 2,000 h/y, to reach nearly 3,500 h/y in the desert. The total energy received is 169,400 TWh/y and it is 5,000 times the country’s yearly power utilization.

The schematic and experimental set-up is shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. This system presents a square base with an edge equal to 30 cm. The glass cover (3 mm thick) is tilted at an angle of 10°. The basin is made of wood with a thickness of 2.5 cm, and it is painted with black silicon, the front sidewall height is 6 cm, and the other side height is 14 cm. In addition, a PVC tube is attached to collect condensed water that flows over the glass surface. The testing was supervised for 3 d for the period of 6 to 8th June 2020 for 11 h in the city of El Oued Algeria located at 36° 47′ E and 33° 30′ N. On the bottom of the basins, black painted metal plates of zinc and copper were placed. These plates present a square base with an edge of 49.5 cm and a width of 0.2 cm. The metal plates have good physical and chemical properties that make them excellent energy storage materials. Table 1 shows the properties of the basin materials. Table 2 shows the error values committed in the measuring devices.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Time-wise variation of solar intensity \(I(t)\), atmosphere \((T_a)\), salty water \((T_s.w)\) and collector cover \((T_c.c)\) temperatures

Figs. 4–6 display the time-wise variation of \(I(t)\), \(T_a\), \(T_s.w\) and \(T_c.c\) with a steel plate (SSS-SP), SSS with a zinc plate (SSS-ZP) and SSS with a copper plate (SSS-CP) on 6-6-2020 and 8-6-2020, respectively. From graph 4, it is known that \(I(t)\) increases in the sunrise period and measured its highest value of 1,008 W/m² at 12 P.M. on 6-6-2020 and 995 W/m² at 12 P.M. on 8-6-2020. Also, \(T_a\) increased in the sunrise period and measured its highest value of 42°C at 1 P.M. on 6-6-2020 and 41°C at 1 P.M. on 8-6-2020. Time-wise variations of \(T_a\), \(T_s.w\) and \(T_c.c\) have similar trends like \(I(t)\) because it is the cause for variations of \(T_a\), \(T_s.w\) and \(T_c.c\). The every-day mean value of \(I(t)\) and \(T_a\) on 6-6-2020 are 673.17 W/m², and 36.83°C, respectively and on 8-6-2020 is 659.58 W/m² and 35.58°C, respectively. From Table 3, it is found that maximum \(T_s.w\) of the SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is 2°C and 4°C higher than the SSS-SP on 6-6-2020 and maximum \(T_s.w\) of the SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is 2°C and 3°C higher than the SSS-SP on 8-6-2020. The average daily \(T_a\) of the SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is 3.52% and 6.88% higher than the SSS-SP on 6-6-2020, and the average daily \(T_a\) of the SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is 2.51% and 6.08% higher than the SSS-SP on 8-6-2020. The average daily \(T_a\) of the SSS-CP is 3.25% higher than the SSS-ZP on 6-6-2020, and the average daily \(T_a\) of the SSS-CP is 3.49% higher than the SSS-ZP on 8-6-2020. Due to higher thermal conductivity materials in the SSS-CP and
SSS-ZP, the maximum and daily average $T_{s,w}$ is higher than the SSS-SP. From Fig. 6, the highest $T_{c.c}$ of 53, 54 and 55°C was recorded on 6-6-2020 and 49°C, 51°C and 51°C was recorded on 8-6-2020 for the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP, correspondingly. The daily mean $T_{c.c}$ of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP are 42°C, 42.75°C and 43.83°C on 6-6-2020 and 40.67°C, 40.98°C and 41.17°C on 8-6-2020. The zinc plate and copper plate used in the SSS-ZP and SSS-CP were used to improve the $T_{c.c}$ during evening time. So the incorporation of zinc plate and copper plate materials stores heat energy, and it improves the $T_{s,w}$ by adding heat energy to the saline water.

Fig. 1. Map of Algeria and the location of the groundwater [68].

Fig. 2. Schematic of the solar distillers.
3.2. Time-wise variation of evaporative heat transfer coefficient and hourly potable water production

Figs. 7 and 8 display the time-wise difference of evaporative heat transfer coefficient (EHTC) and hourly production of potable water from the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP on 6-6-2020 and 8-6-2020, respectively. Table 4 summarizes the maximum and average EHTC of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP on 6-6-2020 and 8-6-2020. The daily mean EHTC of the SSS-CP is higher than the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP due to the higher thermal conductivity copper plate. The daily average EHTC value of the SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is 9.41% and 19.21% higher than the average daily EHTC of the SSS-SP, and the daily average EHTC value of the SSS-CP is 8.96% higher as compared to the SSS-ZP. In the SSS-CP, the copper plate enhances the water temperature, and so it has higher hourly and average daily EHTC than the SSS-ZP and SSS-SP.

Figs. 7 and 8 show the potable water produced from a modified absorber on two different conditions. It is found that potable water production from the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP, and SSS-CP is increasing during the sunrise period and decreases during sunset periods. The highest potable water of 0.67, 0.77 and 0.88 kg was produced on 6-6-2020 and 0.63, 0.75 and 0.86 kg on 8-6-2020 from the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP, respectively. The daily potable water production from the SSS-SP on 6-6-2020 is 3.35 kg and on 8-6-2020 is 3.19 kg, from the SSS-ZP on 6-6-2020 is 3.96 kg and on 8-6-2020 is 3.84 kg, from the SSS-CP on 6-6-2020 is 4.51 kg and on 8-6-2020 is 4.37 kg. When using the copper plate in the SSS, potable water production was augmented by about 18.3% and 34.59% on 6-6-2020 and 20.26% and 36.87% on 8-6-2020 as compared to SSS-ZP, SSS-SP, respectively. In the SSS-CP, due to the material properties, it stores the heat energy in the plate surface, and it reduces the heat losses from the SSS basin to the atmosphere so potable water production from the SSS-CP is higher as compared to the SSS-SP and SSS-ZP.

3.3. Time-wise variation of energy and exergy efficiencies

Time-wise difference of energy and exergy efficiencies of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP on 6-6-2020 and
8-6-2020 are shown in Figs. 9 and 10. The SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP energy efficiency is increasing during the sunrise period and reached a maximum value at 2 P.M., and then it decreases up to 5 P.M., and again it starts increasing. The energy efficiency of the SSS-SP starts with 0.85% at 8 A.M., having an increasing trend and reached 48.12% at 2 P.M. and then it has a decreasing trend up to 5 P.M. (34.21%) and after 5 P.M. it was increasing and reached the maximum efficiency of 49.79% at 7 P.M. Also energy efficiency of the SSS-ZP starts with 1.5% at 8 A.M., having an increasing trend and reached 51.95% at 2 P.M. and then it has a decreasing trend up to 5 P.M. (35.72%) and after 5 P.M. it is increasing and reached maximum efficiency of 56.63% at 7 P.M. Similarly, the energy efficiency of the SSS-CP starts at 2.26% at 8 A.M., having to increase trend and reached 61.53% at 2 P.M. and then it has a decreasing trend up to 5 P.M. (36.70%) and after 5 P.M. it is increasing and reached the maximum efficiency of 65.74% at 7 P.M. Table 5 summarizes the daily average energy and exergy efficiency of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP on 6-6-2020 and 8-6-2020. The energy efficiency of the SSS-CP is 24.4 and 14.14% higher than SSS-SP and SSS-ZP on 6-6-2020 and 25.56 and 14.3% higher than SSS-SP and SSS-ZP on 8-6-2020, respectively. The copper plate presence in the SSS-CP enhances the water temperature, EHTC and potable water production. Hence, it produced higher energy efficiency than the SSS-ZP and SSS-CP.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SSS-SP</th>
<th>SSS-ZP</th>
<th>SSS-CP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6-6-2020</td>
<td>Maximum $T_{sw}$</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6-6-2020</td>
<td>Average $T_{sw}$</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>56.42</td>
<td>58.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8-6-2020</td>
<td>Maximum $T_{sw}$</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8-6-2020</td>
<td>Average $T_{sw}$</td>
<td>53.17</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Time-wise variation of EHTC and hourly potable water production from the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP on 6-6-2020.
a decreasing trend up to 6 P.M. (2.09%) and after 6 P.M. it was increasing and reached an efficiency of 2.9% at 7 P.M. Similarly the exergy efficiency of the SSS-CP starts with 0.06% at 8 A.M., having an increasing trend and reached 6.74% at 2 P.M. and then it has a decreasing trend up to 6 P.M. (3.09%) and after 6 P.M. it was increasing and reached an efficiency of 4.06% at 7 P.M. The exergy efficiency of the SSS-CP is 58.43% and 26.13% higher than SSS-SP and SSS-ZP on 6-6-2020 and 61.57% and 23.42% higher than SSS-SP and SSS-ZP on 8-6-2020, respectively. The solar still exergy efficiency is maximum in the case of the copper plate because exergy efficiency is directly related to potable water production and available solar intensity. During the evening time, the difference between \( T_{\text{s.w}} \) and \( T_{\text{c.c.}} \) is higher, so it is higher at the time of the evening compared to the morning.

### 3.4. Comparison of similar studies

In Table 6, the comparison of present results with the daily productivity of other published works has been summarized. From the results, it has been noted that the daily yield of a SSS containing zinc metal plate increases by 18.21% compared to the SSS-SP and cumulative yield increases by 34.63% when using the copper metal plate. Thus, the copper metal plate greatly enhances the productivity of solar distillation. From Table 6 it can be summarized the productivity of plastic solar still (Cappelletti [20]) is minimum (1.8 kg/m²/d) and copper solar still (Abujazar et al. [50]) is (4.383 kg/m²/d), and solar panel solar still is (7.3 kg). The present study produced maximum productivity of 3.96 and 4.51 kg using zinc steel plate and copper steel plate, respectively.

### 4. Economic evaluation

Table 7 shows the fabrication cost of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP. From these results, it is clear that the maximum value of the amount of water produced during the day is obtained with the SSS-CP and it is equal to
4.51 kg/m²/d with a price of daily water production equal to 270.6 DZD. The cost recovery period for the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is 40, 35 and 32 d, respectively.

5. Conclusions

Modification of the solar still was made by the addition of metal plates of steel, zinc and copper. A comparison was made between steel, zinc and copper plates. The following conclusions are obtained:

- Copper and zinc metal plates enhance the efficiency of solar stills due to its high thermal conductivity.
- Using the copper metal plate as thermal storage material is much better than using zinc metal plates.
- By using the SSS-SP, the production of the distilled water is equal to 3.35 kg/m².
- By using the SSS-ZP, the production of the distilled water is equal to 3.96 kg/m².
- By using the SSS-CP, the production of the distilled water is equal to 4.51 kg/m².
- By using the SSS-CP, the production of the distilled water is equal to 4.51 kg/m².
- By using the SSS-CP, productivity increases to about a higher rate of 14% as compared to SSS-ZP.
- Compared to the SSS-SP, the daily accumulation was improved by 18.21% and 34.63% by using a metal plate zinc and copper, respectively.

The solar still with zinc and copper plates augment the output of the distillation and increase efficiency. Therefore, copper metal plates are excellent energy storage materials and are recommended in such applications.
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Appendix

The evaporative heat transfer coefficient from salty water \((T_{w}, s)\), to collector cover \((T_{c}, c)\) is calculated by [70,71]:

\[
h_{e,v,w-s} = 16.273 \times 10^{-3} \times h_{e,(w-s)} \left( \frac{P_{w} - P_{g}}{T_{w} - T_{g}} \right)
\]  
(A1)

Convective heat transfer coefficient from \(T_{w}, s\) to \(T_{c}, c\) is calculated by [70,71]:

\[
h_{c,v,w-s} = 0.884 \left( \frac{T_{w} - T_{s}}{273 + 273.15} \right)^{0.13} \left( \frac{p_{w} - p_{g}}{268.909 - p_{g}} \right)
\]
(A2)

Partial vapour pressure at the \(T_{w}, s\) is calculated by [70,71]:

\[
P_w = \exp \left( 25.317 - \frac{5.144}{273 + T_{w}} \right)
\]
(A3)

Partial vapour pressure at the \(T_{c}, c\) is calculated by [70,71]:

\[
P_g = \exp \left( 25.317 - \frac{5.144}{273 + T_{c}} \right)
\]
(A4)

The thermal efficiency of the single-slope solar still with a steel plate (SSS-SP), SSS with a zinc plate (SSS-ZP) and SSS with a copper plate (SSS-CP) is estimated as [70,71]:

\[
\eta_{passive} = \frac{\sum n_{w,L} \times 100}{\sum f(T) \times A_t \times 3,600}
\]
(A5)
The exergy efficiency of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is given by [70,71]:

\[ \eta_{\text{overall,exe}} = \frac{\sum \text{Ex}_{\text{output}}}{\sum \text{Ex}_{\text{input}}} \quad (A6) \]

The hourly exergy output of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is calculated by [70,71]:

\[ \text{Ex}_{\text{output}} = A_w P(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{T_s}{T_f} \right)^3 + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{T_s}{T_f} \right)^4 \right] \quad (A7) \]

The hourly exergy input of the SSS-SP, SSS-ZP and SSS-CP is calculated by [70,71]:

\[ \text{Ex}_{\text{input}} = A_w P(t) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{T_s}{T_f} \right)^4 \right] \quad (A8) \]