CuO@MWCNTs nanocomposite as non-enzyme electrochemical sensor for the detection of Malathion in seawater
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ABSTRACT

This study used an enzyme-free electrochemical technique to identify low quantities of Malathion in sea water samples. The working electrode was made from carboxylated multwall carbon nanotubes (c-MWCNTs) that were hybridised with copper oxide nanostructures (CuO NRs). Scanning electron microscopes (SEM) and transmission electron microscopes (TEM) were used to examine the morphology of the produced MWCNT and CuO NRs. X-ray diffraction was used to determine the crystallinity of produced materials. The electrochemical behavior of the non-enzymatic sensor was used to determine the presence of Malathion insecticides using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), cyclic voltammetry (CV), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). Scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscope images showed that the produced MWCNT and CuO NRs were well-synthesized, and the CuO NRs were successfully connected to the growing MWCNT. The charge-transfer resistance (Ret) of the suggested c-MWCNT-CuO/GE and c-MWCNT-CuO/GE was lowered from 143 to 73.4Ω, showing improved electron transport and conductivity. The proposed c-MWCNT-CuO/GE had good electrochemical properties, such as a wide dynamic range (20–250 nM) and a low detection limit of 0.303 nM for the LSV approach. It had strong stability for 3 d, with a current response of 91 percent, high selectivity since the interference effect was less than 7%, and reproducibility was evaluated with five electrodes, yielding a standard deviation of 2.03%. The non-enzymatic sensor detected Malathion (organophosphorus insecticides) in aquatic environmental samples with recoveries ranging from 97% to 102% and relative standard deviations of less than 2%.
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1. Introduction

Organic pesticides like organophosphorus pesticides (OPs) are commonly used to protect crops from pests [1]. They are, however, hazardous compounds and highly toxic substances that can harm both animals and humans [2–4]. They are absorbed in a variety of ways by the living body, many of which are severe [5–8]. Organochlorine pesticides are another type of organic chemical that is extremely dangerous to all living things. These herbicides pollute the environment and have serious environmental consequences [9–12]. Malathion (MLT) is a common OP with the chemical formula diethyl 2-[(dimethoxyphosphorothioyl)sulfanyl]
butanedioate. Excessive MLT use can be harmful to aquatic organisms, vertebrates, and humans by interfering with nervous systems, causing symptoms such as headaches and nausea, and suppressing the immune system at high doses. Furthermore, it pollutes groundwater and agricultural products [13,14]. As a result, detecting OPs requires a sensitive, precise, rapid, and dependable analytical approach. Gas chromatography with ECD and/or MS detectors [15–21], as well as liquid chromatography [22], have all been demonstrated to be extremely accurate and sensitive methods for detecting OPs. They do, however, face numerous challenges, such as large tools, high costs, and sophisticated operation and processing, all of which require time and effort. As a result, because of its simple operating procedures, low cost, quick turnaround time, and excellent repeatability and reproducibility, most modern research groups focus on the electrochemical approach [23].

Certain substances can be detected both enzymatically and non-enzymatically using the electrochemical approach [24–28]. The enzymatic electrochemical approach has some drawbacks, such as a time-consuming immobilisation procedure, high enzyme costs, and poor stability, repeatability, and reproducibility [29]. As a result, current research [23] is focusing on non-enzymatic electrochemical detection methods. Metal oxides such as CuO, ZnO, Fe₂O₃, Co₃O₄, and NiO have previously been used in non-enzymatic sensors [30,31].

CuO is a potent electrode material for non-enzymatic sensors when compared to other nanostructured transition metal oxides due to its natural availability, excellent electrocatalytic activity, low cost, relatively low resistance, and lower interference [32–34]. It also has a strong affinity for sulfur-containing compounds [35–37]. The detection technique is based on the detection of CuO's good redox peaks in a blank buffer system, which show significant suppression after the addition of Malathion to the solution, indicating an effect on CuO electrochemical behaviour.

CuO's poor conductivity, on the other hand, frequently influences current conduction rate, resulting in sensitivity reduction. CuO conductivity can be increased by changing the morphology and microstructure of the material, as well as by modifying the surface [38–40].

Carbon-based nanomaterials, particularly carbon nanotubes, have been shown to be suitable for sensor applications because they are conductive, easily functionalized, and have a large surface area. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are a type of nanomaterial with unique geometrical, mechanical, electrical, and chemical properties [41]. CNTs have excellent electrocatalytic activity and reduce surface fouling on electrochemical devices, making them ideal for electrochemical sensing. Carbon nanotube surfaces can be activated or functionalized. Molecules, enzymes, metal nanoparticles, and other molecules can be immobilised at oxygen-containing activated sites [42]. The number of studies that have developed non-enzymatic sensors using MWCNTs has increased in recent years [43–49]. The hybridization process improves the functional qualities of each electrode component and harvests unique features through the interaction of electrode components [50,51].

Several carbon nanotube/CuO nanocomposites were used to detect organophosphate pesticides in the aquatic environment [52]. However, more research in this area is required before it can be used as a method of detecting contaminants. As a result, this study focuses on the non-enzymatic detection of MLT in seawater samples using a carboxylic multiwall carbon nanotube (c-MWCNT)/CuO nanostructure modified electrode. To characterise the fabricated materials, various approaches were used.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Iron nitrate, cobalt nitrate hexahydrate, aluminum oxide, hydrazine hydrate (N₂H₄·5H₂O, 80%), and ethylene glycol (EG, C₃H₆O₂, 99.5%), poly vinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) (MW = 40,000) ethanol (C₂H₅OH, 94%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich company and used directly without further purification. Copper sulfate pentahydrate, sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 98%), Nitric acid (HNO₃, 60%), and Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 98%), were obtained from El-Nasr Co., Egypt. The supporting electrolyte, 0.1M phosphate-buffer solution (PBS) (pH 6) was prepared with sodium dihydrogen phosphate and sodium phosphate dibasic. All solutions were deoxygenated prior to each experiment using a flow of purified N₂ gas for 15 min. All chemical materials were used without further purification.

2.2. Instrument

The electrochemical measurements were measured via potentiostat (Gamary Instruments Electrochemical Analyzer, USA) in a three electrodes glass cell. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were obtained using JEOL Scanning Electron Microscope model JSM-6360LA, Japan and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted on Schimidzu-7000 X-Ray diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation with 1.5406 Å wavelength.

2.3. Methods

2.3.1. Synthesis of c-MWCNT

MWCNTs were grown on an iron–cobalt catalyst supported on aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) in the presence of acetylene as a carbon source in previous work [53–55]. The reaction took place in a horizontal tube furnace with a flow rate of 70 mL/min of nitrogen gas and a flow rate of 30 mL/min of acetylene (C₂H₂) gas for 60 min.

The MWCNT was purified and functionalized with carboxylic groups using a 3:1 volume ratio of sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and nitric acid (HNO₃). The reaction mixture was sonicated for 30 min in an ultrasonic bath, then heated for 5 h at 70°C, diluted with deionized water, filtered, and washed with deionized water until it reached a neutral pH of 7. The carboxylic MWCNT (c-MWCNT) was dried in a vacuum oven at 70°C for 24 h.

2.3.2. Synthesis of copper oxide nanostructure (CuO NRs)

1.43 g of copper sulphate pentahydrate CuSO₄·5H₂O, 2.4 g of sodium hydroxide NaOH, 0.8 g of poly vinyl
pyrrolidone PVP, and 80 mL of ethylene glycol EG were mixed in a round flask and stirred at 120°C before adding 4.9 mL of hydrazine hydrate (N\(_2\)H\(_4\)·5H\(_2\)O). The reaction was allowed to run for 90 min before being poured into deionized water containing 10% hydrazine hydrate and vigorously agitated to remove any remaining reactants and surfactants. The product was vacuum dried at 60°C for 24 h [56].

2.3.3. Manufacturing of carboxylic multiwall carbon nanotube – copper oxide (c-MWCNTs-CuO NRs) electrode

Preparation of carboxylic multiwall carbon nanotube – copper oxide hybridized as described in [52] with minor modifications. To obtain c-MWCNTs-CuO NRs suspension, copper oxide (CuO NRs) was suspended in 10 mg c-MWCNTs aqueous solution with a weight ratio of 2:1, and the mixture was sonicated for 30 min.

Prior to modification, the graphite electrode (GE) was polished with 0.05 μm aluminium oxide pastes to achieve a mirror-like shine. To remove inorganic impurities, the prepared electrode was immersed in deionized water and sonicated in an ultrasonic bath, followed by treatment with ethanol in an ultrasonic bath to remove organic impurities. To obtain c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE modified electrode, a 50 μL suspension of c-MWCNTs-CuO (NRs) was dropped on GE electrode using the casting method and allowed to dry under ambient air atmosphere. The control electrode modified with c-MWCNTs denoted as c-MWCNTs/GE.

The c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE modified electrodes were then used as working electrode in the electrochemical cell with silver–silver chloride electrode (Ag/AgCl)) and graphite serving as reference and counter electrodes, respectively.

2.3.4. Electrochemical detection procedure

Electrochemical measurements were conducted in 0.1 M phosphate buffer PBS (pH 6.0). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed at a potential from 0 to 0.4 V with the scan rate of 25 mV s\(^{-1}\). Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was recorded via potential range from 1 to 0.4 V. Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) was carried out at a frequency ranging from 0.1 to 10,000 Hz. The Malathion detection was based on the relative current change (ΔI/I\(_0\)) as the following formula.

\[
\Delta \frac{I}{I_0} (\%) = \left( \frac{I - I_0}{I_0} \right) \times 100
\]

wherein \(I_0\) and \(I\) are the anodic peak currents of the modified electrode treated without and with Malathion, respectively.

2.3.5. Real sample analyses

To investigate whether the proposed electrode could be used to detect Malathion in seawater samples. The water was collected from the Elanfoushy area on Alexandria’s Shores, filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane to remove all solid pollutants, and then spiked with varying amounts of Malathion. Finally, under ideal conditions, the fabricated c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE sensor was used to detect Malathion in various concentrations.

3. Results discussion

3.1. Characterization

The CVD-synthesized c-MWCNTs, as well as the synthesized CuO (NRs), are shown in SEM images in Fig. 2.
As demonstrated in Fig. 2a, the density c-MWCNTs are sufficiently entangled and free of amorphous carbon. In Fig. 2b, the synthesized CuO NRs are shown, which have a homogenous crystalline structure and a spherical shape with a higher tendency for agglomeration.

Another morphological investigation was carried out using transmission electron microscope analysis for the synthesized c-MWCNTs, CuO NRs, and c-MWCNT-CuO (NRs) nanocomposite, as shown in Fig. 3, which shows the smooth surface and low graphitization of the synthesized MWCNTs, as well as the circular shape of the synthesized CuO NRs. It also demonstrates that CuO was successfully attached to the surface of the c-MWCNT and that a full uniform layer of CuO was formed on the MWCNT surface.

XRD analysis of synthesized c-MWCNT, CuO NRs, and MWCNT-CuO hybrids are shown in Fig. 4. The c-MWCNT XRD pattern displays a significant peak at 2θ = 25.2° related to the hexagonal graphite structure. Due to an increase in the sp<sup>2</sup>, C=C layers separation, this peak has shifted down from the peak of conventional graphite 2θ = 26.5° [51–53]. The prominent peaks in the CuO XRD pattern, on the other hand, show that the as-prepared CuO NRs have a monoclinic structure and good crystalline quality. The diffraction peaks at 2θ = 35.74°, 38.9°, 49.2°, and 74.1°, which correspond to (002), (111), (202), and (222), respectively, were in good agreement with standard ICDD Card No. 001-1117 and matched to previously reported ones [58,59]. The XRD pattern of MWCNT-CuO hybridised shows that all of the diffraction peaks assigned to MWCNT and CuO, and matched to the reported ones [60]. At 25.7°, the intense MWCNTs diffraction peak overlapped with that of CuO nanoparticles. The intensity of the other characteristic CuO peaks was lower. This reinforces the successful functionalization of MWCNTs with CuO nanoparticles [61].

The average crystallite size of the prepared CuO NRs and MWCNT-CuO hybridized were calculated via Scherrer’s formula as the following [60].

\[
D = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
\]

where \( k \) is a constant (about 0.9), \( \lambda \) is the X-ray wavelength (0.15418 nm), \( \beta \) is the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the X-ray diffraction peak in radians and \( \theta \) is the Bragg angle. Based on the Scherrer’s equation, the average crystallite size for CuO and MWCNT-CuO hybridized were calculated and they were about 60.2 and 17.55 nm, respectively.

3.2. Electrochemical characterization of c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE

Fig. 5a shows cyclic-voltammetry (CV) of the modified electrodes c-MWCNTs/GE and c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE in KCl (0.1 M) containing [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3−/4−</sup> (5 mM) at a scan rate 25 mV s⁻¹. Potassium chloride (KCl) is used as an electrolyte, which is an electrically conducting solution. Potassium ferrocyanide is a significant redox system that is chosen for its electrochemical reaction at the surface. Ferricyanide has a reversible one-electron redox performance for both c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE and c-MWCNTs/GE, as shown in Fig. 5a. The strong electro-catalytic activity and huge surface area of c-MWCNTs are responsible for the remarkable voltammetric response of the ferricyanide redox pair on the c-MWCNTs electrode. The current peak of the c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE electrode was higher than that of the c-MWCNTs/GE electrode, indicating that the CuO NRs have improved the electro-catalytic active surface area. The CV curve for the modified c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE in the absence and presence of 20 nM Malathion and 0.1 M phosphate buffer PBS (pH 6) is shown in Fig. 5b. The peak signals were further reduced after incubation of the modified electrode with Malathion, due to Malathion absorption on the surface of CuO, which resulted in the formation of a barrier that blocked the redox reaction of CuO NRs [14]. In 0.1 M PBS solution containing 20 nM Malathion, the effects of scan rate (10–90 mV s⁻¹) on the peak current of a c-MWCNT-CuO modified electrode are illustrated in Fig. 5c. With \( R^2 \) values of 0.986 and 0.984, the oxidation and reduction peaks increased linearly with the square root of the scan rate, indicating that the electrochemical reaction was a dielectric reaction [57].

To investigate the electron transfer rate at the electrode-electrolyte interface, electrochemical impedance
spectroscopy (EIS) was used. At high frequencies, electron transfer is a limited process, with the charge-transfer resistance (Ret) equal to the semicircle part's diameter, whereas at low frequencies, electron transfer is accomplished through diffusion [54,55]. In the frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz, the EIS of bare c-MWCNT/GE (a) and c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE (b) are given in Fig. 6. It can be shown that the Ret of c-MWCNT/GE is 143 Ω, while the Ret of c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE electrode is around 73.4 Ω, suggesting that the introduction of CuO NRs effectively enhances electron transfer and improves the conductivity.

3.3. Electrochemical detection of Malathion

LSV approach was employed to examine the efficiency of the Malathion detection at the c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE (Fig. 7). Different concentrations of Malathion were added to a PBS solution pH 6.0, and the current inhibition is proportional to the concentration of Malathion ranging from 20–250 nM. The linear curve fit a regression equation of $I = 17.29 + 0.0.05$ concentration with an $R^2$ of 0.983. The limit of detection (LOD) was calculated as three times the blank signal's standard deviation and was estimated to be 0.303 nM (S/N = 3). The linear range and detection limit of c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE were found to be quite similar to those of other Malathion non-enzymatic electrochemical sensors, as shown in Table 1.

3.4. Reproducibility and selectivity of the proposed sensor

The reproducibility of the modified electrodes c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE was tested by preparing five independent electrodes and measuring Malathion (20 nM) in...
phosphate buffer pH 6 with an amperometric measurement. The relative standard deviation (RSD %) for three repeated experiments was 2.203%, demonstrating strong repeatability for c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE. The stability of the fabricated c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE electrode was tested using an amperometric signal sensing measurement of Malathion concentration (20 nM) every 3 d for three weeks. The current response in the test retained around 91% of the original measurement, showing that the manufactured electrode is stable. Malathion was detected electrochemically in the presence of interfering species such as common coexisting cations K+, Na+, anions such as Cl−, SO42−, and molecules such as glucose at concentrations 10-fold greater than Malathion (20 nM). In the presence of the inference, the signal change in Malathion detection was less than 7%, as shown in Fig. 8. The anti-interference ability of the fabricated electrode c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE can be attributed to the coordination between CuO NRs on the fabricated electrode and thiophosphoryl group of Malathion [49].

3.5. Detection of Malathion in real samples

The manufactured electrode c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE was utilized to detect Malathion in seawater samples to test the effectiveness of the proposed sensor. Malathion with known concentrations (20, 40, and 60 nM) was added after the pH was adjusted to 6.0 using 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Table 2 demonstrates that the suggested manufactured...
electrode functioned well in detecting Malathion in seawater, with recoveries ranging from 97 to 102% and RSDs of less than 2%.

4. Conclusion

Malathion was detected in an aquatic environment using the non-enzymatic electrochemical sensor c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE. The electrochemical activity of the manufactured c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE electrode was investigated using cyclic voltammetry and linear sweep voltammetry. Based on its suppressed signal measurement with

![Nyquist plots of (a) c-MWCNT/GE and (b) c-MWCNT-CuO/GE in 5 mM [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻/⁴⁻ containing 0.1 M KCl at a frequency ranges of 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz, the inset represents the proposed equivalent circuit.](image)

![LSV at a scan rate 10 mV s⁻¹ responses of c-MWCNT-CuO/GE in PBS (pH 6.0) containing different concentrations of Malathion (20–250 nM), and LSV plots of the current inhibition % values against Malathion concentration.](image)

![The selectivity of the fabricated c-MWCNT-CuO/GE biosensors in 20 nM Malathion, with existing cations such as K⁺, Na⁺, and anions like Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, and glucose with concentrations 10-fold higher than the concentration of Malathion.](image)

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified electrode</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Linear range (nM)</th>
<th>LOD (nM)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuO-CMWCNT/GE</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>20–300</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO-NPs/3DGR/GCE</td>
<td>LSV</td>
<td>20–250</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO/SWCNT/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.03–1.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI-ES/SWCNTs/CPE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.3–1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe3O4NP/C-MWNT/Au</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.1–40</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNP-CS-IL/PGE</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0.89–5.94 and 5.94–44.6</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified paper based electrodes</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>20–60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA-NP-MWCNTs</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.03–75.0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Malathion was detected in an aquatic environment using the non-enzymatic electrochemical sensor c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE. The electrochemical activity of the manufactured c-MWCNTs-CuO/GE electrode was investigated using cyclic voltammetry and linear sweep voltammetry. Based on its suppressed signal measurement with
a LOD of 0.303 within the concentration of Malathion of 20–250 nM using LSV, the established sensor demonstrated high sensitivity for Malathion detection. The established sensor also demonstrated high selectivity, long-term stability, and reproducibility. It was also effective at detecting Malathion in seawater samples, with a high percentage of recovery (97.0%–102.3%).
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