Modeling and analysis of the groundwater hardness variations process using machine learning procedure
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

This paper focuses on applying artificial neural network (ANN) models to predict total hardness from groundwater. The input parameters of the neural network are electrical conductivity (EC) and pH, which are considered fast, measurable water quality factors. ANN-based Levenberg–Marquardt (trainlm) training algorithm has demonstrated exceptional ability to predict all data; in parallel, the excellent prediction was displayed by a different test dataset with $R$ of 0.986 and 0.98079, respectively. The mean square error and mean absolute error for all datasets were considered to be 0.0011 and 0.0265, respectively; besides, their values for the other test dataset were acquired 0.0008 and 0.0243. Sensitivity analysis represented that EC plays a catch-all role in ANN models with the relative importance of 71%, while in contrast with the less important for pH by 29%.
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\textbf{1. Introduction}

Water is the most critical substance on the earth for humans, animals, and plants. Water has an abundant amount on the earth, but unfortunately, its small proportions are consumable by humans [1,2]. In some cases, the resource’s chemical properties (hardness, heavy metals, soluble iron, nitrate contamination, etc.) do not satisfy acceptable levels. Water hardness is one of the most significant factors of water appropriateness for consumption, either on an industrial scale or domestic scale [3]. The use of water with a hardness of higher than standard value can lead to human health problems such as cardiovascular disorders [4]. Besides, in various industries, water consumption with hardness in higher values (hard water) may cause scale formation and process malfunction. The total hardness of more than 200 mg/L was classified as poor resources, while the unacceptable amount for household consumptions was more than 300 mg/L [5–7]. The calcium, carbonate ions, and magnesium on the earth layer dramatically affect groundwater’s hydrochemistry. Magnesium and calcium ions of the earth layers react with the moisture and carbon dioxide, which cause
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water hardness. The hardness degrees have been classified as temporary and permanent. Therefore, it is necessary to perceive the hardness of the water before consumption [8,9]. A neural network can be considered the computational system of simple interconnected processing elements, called the neuron, connected and respond to the network by the set of weights. The network architecture regulates the networks, the significance of the weights, and a processing element's modes involve in operation [10–12]. Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are known as promising tools in various sciences due to their user-friendliness in simulation, higher predictive performance in modeling and prediction than standard approaches [13–16]. ANNs were applied to predict several water quality indices such as sulfate, nitrate [17], sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) [10], etc. However, there is no study on the application of ANN in the prediction of total hardness (TH) using pH and electrical conductivity (EC) from groundwater. As a result, using these water quality parameters is a simple, easy, and cost-effective way to estimate water hardness concentration. This study aimed to develop an ANN model using EC and pH of groundwater to predict total water hardness.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Analytical methods

In this presented study, we started to promptly measure some water parameters after testing in the region, which consists of EC and pH, by utilizing relative devices such as a conductivity meter and a portable pH meter. Furthermore, we adopted Varian flame atomic absorption spectrometer to evaluate cations’ concentration like Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ [7,10]. The whole of 51 groundwater samples was collected and evaluated in the Meshkinshahr basin of Ardabil province.

TH in groundwater was determined by the equation (Eq. (1)) [7,10]:

\[
\text{TH (as mg CaCO}_3/L) = (\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+}) \text{ meq/L} \times 50
\]

2.2. Artificial neural network

In this inquiry, a feed-forward ANN model was developed to predict the total hardness in the water resources of Meshkinshahr (Ardabil – Iran). By designing the model structure, the numbers of the neurons in output and input layers were demonstrated corresponding to the number of output and input variables. The multiple numbers of neural networks were evaluated during diversified training practices by packing the disparate number of neurons in the hidden layer of the ANN model. In the validation and testing phases, the accuracy of the models was adjusted according to the best exclusive model by mean square error (MSE) and $R$ as statistical indices. In modeling, all data must also be split into two sections in the ratio 80:20; the first one with 80% was adopted to test, training and validation by portions of 15%, 70%, and 15%, respectively; and the latter with 20% was used for the supplementary test. For selecting the right algorithm as the key part of every process, we utilized seven backpropagation training algorithms such as Levenberg–Marquardt (trainlm), scaled conjugate gradient (trainscg), resilient backpropagation (trainrp), Polak–Ribière conjugate gradient (traincgp), one step secant (trainoss), Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) quasi-Newton (trainbfg) and gradient descent with momentum (traingdm) which was used with the same primary input data. Also, tangent sigmoid transfer function (tansig) and a linear transfer function (purelin) were applied for hidden and output layers, accordingly. The values of MSE were determined by utilizing the MSE equation. With several numbers series from 1 to 20, the neuron was tested to identify the most appropriate number of the hidden layer of the neural network. Our prestigious goal was minimizing the error and boosting the precision of the network weights and the output prediction; therefore, this modeling method was carried out by ten replications in three phases of validation, testing, and training.

2.3. Sensitivity analysis of the ANN model

The sensitivity analysis evaluated the significance of various effectual independent variables such as EC and pH in the ANN models of water. Sensitivity analysis is the practice of investigation in which input variables have the most significant influence on the outcomes (outputs) of the model. Determining the ANN model's most effective variable, the sensitivity analysis was administered in reliance on the Garson equation (Eq. (2)) [18]. This equation's basis depends on the obtained weights according to the best model of neural network and its partitioning.

\[
I_j = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{N_h} \left( \frac{W_{jm}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_i} W_{ik}} \right) \times W_{oh}}{\sum_{m=1}^{N_h} \left( \frac{W_{jm}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_i} W_{ik}} \right) \times W_{oh} \times 100}
\]

In the mentioned equation $I_j$ is the related importance of the $j$th input variable on the output variable, $N_h$ and $N_i$ are the numbers of hidden and input neurons respectively, $W$ is connection weight based on $h$ indexes, $i$, $o$, and $h$ attributed to the input, output, and hidden layers, $k$, $n$, and $m$ are input, output, and hidden neurons respectively.

2.4. Analogy of the ANN model

The correlation coefficient (R), mean absolute error (MAE) and mean squared error (RMSE) were developed to assess the goodness of fit and accuracy prediction of the model. Overall, the developed model was deemed most relevant to high values of $R$ and small values of MSE and MAE. These indices of MATLAB were presented by the mathematical equations as follows (Eqs. (3)–(5)):

\[
R = \text{corr (real total hardness values, anticipated total hardness values)}
\]
\[
\text{MSE} = \frac{\text{mean}((\text{real total hardness value} - \text{anticipated total hardness value})^2)}{}
\]

\[
\text{MAE} = \frac{\text{mean}(\text{abs}(\text{real total hardness value} - \text{anticipated total hardness value}))}{}
\]

3. Results and discussion

3.1. ANN model of TH

3.1.1. Backpropagation training algorithm choice

Better performance for algorithms is achievable to possess the outstanding backpropagation training algorithms by considering the small value of the MSE for any number of neurons in the distinctive training algorithms. Accordingly, the trainlm was considered the first and foremost ANN model for evaluating TH (Table 1).

3.1.2. Optimization of neuron number

In ANN models for optimizing the number of neurons, we considered the neurons with the minimum MSE proportions in the three phases of validation, training, and testing [10]. Depending upon the outcome, the smallest MSE (Table 2) was observed in trainlm algorithm for the neurons with the number of 8; therefore, it is considered as the perfect choice for TH.

Hence, configuration 2-8-1 (Fig. 1) appeared to be the most optimal topology for ANN models of TH, upon which 1 and 2 are the number of neurons in output and input layers, respectively. The type of transfer functions in hidden, and output layers were respectively tansig and purelin. The data normalization range was 0.1–0.9 (Eq. (6)).

\[
y = 0.8\left(\frac{x - x_{\text{min}}}{x_{\text{max}} - x_{\text{min}}}\right) + 0.1
\]

3.1.3. Model validation and tests

Generically, 30% of tests were considered to be used in test and validation phases (15% by each). The prediction performance of TH data and the ANN model was evaluated using Eq. (7).

Scatter plots (Fig. 2) presented the anticipated values of the TH vs. real ones, indicating the correlation coefficient prepared models in all data and the whole three phases. Fig. 2 shows the \( R \) values of the TH in three stages of validation, training, and test by the value of 0.9602, 0.99 and 0.9753 accordingly, while for all datasets \( R \)-value stands at 0.9859.

Therefore, it can be concluded that the developed model can predict the total hardness values accurately. Also, acquired results for all data set was further analyzed by the determination coefficient (\( R^2 \)). The results demonstrate that the organized model has the best ability to predict TH proportions up to 97.2%. Notably, the MSE portion for TH in the three phases of testing, validation, and

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Backpropagation algorithms</th>
<th>0.9396</th>
<th>0.002</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>traincgf (Polak–Ribière conjugate gradient)</td>
<td>0.8924</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>traingdm (gradient descent with momentum)</td>
<td>0.9344</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trainscg (scaled conjugate gradient)</td>
<td>0.9508</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trainrp (resilient backpropagation)</td>
<td>0.9517</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trainoss (one step secant)</td>
<td>0.9731</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trainbfg (Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS quasi-Newton)</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Structure of 2-8-1 designated for the ANN model.

Fig. 2. Obtained scatter plots for training, validation, testing, and all datasets.
training was obtained to be 0.000742, 0.002777, and 0.000918 (Fig. 3). Furthermore, this presented picture has shown the MAE proportions and residual error.

The ANN model for TH prediction is presented in Eq. (7) as follows:

\[
\text{ANN equation} = \text{purelin} \left[ W_2 \times \text{tansig} \left( W_1 \times \begin{bmatrix} \text{pH} \\ \text{EC} \end{bmatrix} + b_1 \right) + b_2 \right]
\]  

While Figs. 2 and 3 present the terrific linear fit and \( R^2 \) concerning the created-ANN model for all datasets successively.

\[
y = 0.9726x + 0.0149 \quad (8)
\]

\[
R^2 = 0.9721 \quad (9)
\]

where \( x \) and \( y \) are the real and estimated concentrations of TH, accordingly.

Also, in parallel, further analysis was organized to predict TH by adopting the ANN model. Based on the achieved outcome (Figs. 4 and 5), the MSE, MAE, and \( R \) values are 0.000871, 0.0243, and 0.9808, respectively. As claimed by Figs. 4 and 5, you can show the equations of the most exceptional linear fit (Eq. (10)) and \( R^2 \) (Eq. (11)) concerning the built-ANN model for additional datasets.

\[
y = 0.9705x + 0.0265 \quad (10)
\]

\[
R^2 = 0.962 \quad (11)
\]

Sulfate and SAR study in the aquifer of Southeastern Turkey by Yesilnacar and Sahinkaya [10] illustrated that the developed-ANN models had the highest prediction potential at the \( R \)-value of 0.956 and 0.98, respectively. A functioning study which was conducted by Balkaya et al. [19] appeared to illustrate the relationship between hardness and groundwater quality and hardness output as well. In the final developed ANN model, \( R \)-value was at the point of 0.591.

The study covers many applications of ANN models in various disciplines, which include effectively modeling a wide range of processes as their strong points.

3.2. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis in the ANN models of TH investigated the significance of different useful independent

Fig. 3. Results of the best-developed model for training, validation, testing, and all dataset as well as their corresponding residual error values.
variables (pH and EC). This approach was conducted by the connection weights of the created models. Table 3 illustrates the matrix of the neural network weights for TH. Based on the obtained results, it is evident that EC and pH possess an essential role in predicting TH. By and large, the maximum effectiveness belongs to the EC with a value of 71%. While in contrast with the less important for pH by 29%.

4. Conclusions

As demonstrated in the study, the ANN as the best model offered the most promising results in predicting TH concentrations of groundwater wells located at the Meshkinshahr basin of Ardabil province. ANN was utilized to generate a model for predicting the proportion of TH. Experimental datasets designed the developed computer models to evaluate the various levels of pH and EC. Based on the presented sensitivity analysis results, it was evident that we can characterize EC as the significant parameter. Moreover, MSE, MAE, R, and R² are the statistical indices we used to predict the developed models. The submitted ANN-trainlm model determines excellent prediction capability with high precision for forecasting TH than that other BP-ANN models.
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