Preparation and characterization of FeMoCoS nanocomposites for efficiently adsorbing dye from wastewater
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A B S T R A C T

In this study, FeMoCoS (FMCS) nanocomposites were designed and fabricated through a hydrothermal method as a new efficient adsorbent to remove organic dyes in wastewater. The morphology and microstructure of the adsorbent were characterized by using scanning electron microscopy, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, Brunauer–Emmett–Teller surface area and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy. The effects of adsorption isotherm, kinetics, initial concentration and pH value of Rhodamine B (RhB) solution on the experiment were also studied. The results show that FMCS has good adsorption capacity for RhB solution, and the maximum adsorption capacity is 280.89 mg/g calculated by using a Langmuir isotherm model. Column study was made to achieve bulk removal of the dye. Column adsorption capacity has been found to be greater than the batch adsorption capacity. Moreover, the maximum removal rate of RhB reaches 99.64% at pH = 7 and pH pzc = 9.57 according to zeta potential. The adsorption kinetics match the pseudo-second-order model, indicating that the adsorption process is dominated by chemisorption. After 5-cycles of consecutive adsorption, the adsorbent can still maintain a high adsorption capacity, indicating a good potential for RhB removal from wastewater.
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1. Introduction

Scientific literatures show that the annual output of dyes is as high as 7 × 10^5 tons, and nearly 10%–15% of the dyes are directly released into the environment without being treated [1]. Chemical processes used in several industries, including paper, textiles, plastics, cosmetics, printing, involve dyes [2], which are often thrown away as untreated waste and mixed with water resources [3]. Dyes are chemically stable and non-degradable [4]. Wastewater with dyes is characterized by complex composition, high concentration, large variation of water quality and quantity, strong pH, high organic matter content, high chroma and high toxicity [5]. In addition, some dyes and their degradation products cause skin irritation, eye burns, diarrhea, cancer and mutagenic or carcinogenic effects on living organisms including humans [6,7]. Rhodamine B (RhB) is a kind of high water-soluble red dye of xanthine. It is widely used
as a colorant in textiles and food, and is also a well-known water-tracer fluorescence agent [8]. Therefore, considering the harmful and harmful effects of RhB, it is worthwhile to systematically remove RhB with efficient adsorbents.

The common methods for purifying wastewater with dye include adsorption [9], biological [10], chemical oxidation [11], membrane separation [12], coagulation and flocculation [13], photocatalysis [14], etc. Among them, adsorption is one of the most effective methods to purify water contaminated by dissolved substances and drugs [15,16]. The adsorption process has no toxicity to water, which is why the removal of organic waste is superior to conventional treatment [17]. It has the advantages of simple operation, high efficiency and wide range of materials [18]. At present, the most commonly used adsorbents are activated carbon [19,20], clay [21], polymer materials [22] and metal-organic framework [23,24]. Even some waste materials can be used as potential adsorbents [25], such as black turmeric powder [26], papaya peel charcoal [27], Chenopodium album [28] and Curcuma caesia [29]. It is necessary to study an adsorbent that is environmentally friendly, cost-effective [23], simple production method and high efficiency for adsorption and degradation [30]. Transition metal (Fe, Co, Mo, etc.) oxides and sulfides have been widely reported due to their good adsorption properties in dye wastewater [31]. Because of its high catalytic activity [32], CoS has become the most optimistic class of efficient sorbents in recent years. The introduction of other non-noble metal elements into CoS can further improve the performance. Iron based nanoparticles are considered as a good adsorbent candidate because of its strong chemical stability [33]. Nanostructured FeMoCoS (FMCS) exhibits larger specific surface area [34], unique porous chemical stability [33]. Nanostructured FeMoCoS (FMCS) exhibits larger specific surface area [34], unique porous chemical stability [33]. FMCS nanocomposites were synthesized by a hydrothermal method [38]. Firstly, 0.5 mmol Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, 1 mmol Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 1 mmol Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O and 1 mmol C₂H₅NS were dissolved in 20 mL deionized water. Afterwards, 0.5 mL C₂H₄O₂ solution was added and stirred for 2 h. Secondly, the reaction mixture was transferred to a 100 mL Teflon lined stainless steel reaction kettle. The reaction kettle was placed in a constant temperature heating box and heated at 180°C for 12 h. Finally, the typical product of FMCS nanocomposites were then washed several times alternately with deionized water and anhydrous ethanol, and finally freeze-dried and ground for sieving.

2.2. Fabrication of adsorbent

FMCS nanocomposites were synthesized by a hydrothermal method [38]. Firstly, 0.5 mmol Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, 1 mmol Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 1 mmol Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O and 1 mmol C₂H₅NS were dissolved in 20 mL deionized water. Afterwards, 0.5 mL C₂H₄O₂ solution was added and stirred for 2 h. Secondly, the reaction mixture was transferred to a 100 mL Teflon lined stainless steel reaction kettle. The reaction kettle was placed in a constant temperature heating box and heated at 180°C for 12 h. Finally, the typical product of FMCS nanocomposites were then washed several times alternately with deionized water and anhydrous ethanol, and finally freeze-dried and ground for sieving.

2.3. Batch adsorption experiments

Different concentrations of RhB solution were used to simulate untreated dye wastewater. Batch adsorption experiments were carried out by adding 20 mg FMCS nanocomposites into 200 mL and 20 mg/L RhB solution. The whole experiment was carried out in a constant temperature oscillation chamber with shading, with the room temperature set at 25°C and the rotation speed at 150 rpm. After waiting for adsorption equilibrium, take a certain amount of supernatant, measure the absorbance value at the absorption wavelength of 554 nm with UV-Vis, calculate and analyze the results through the adsorption capacity formula. The effects of initial pH of RhB solution and adsorbent recycling on the experiment were studied by the same experimental method, and its kinetics and isotherms were studied.

2.4. Column study

A glass column having 20 cm length and 0.5 cm internal diameter was packed with 0.20 g of FMCS over a support of cotton wool, to perform the column operation. The length and cross section area of column bed was 0.5 cm and 0.2 cm², respectively. Through this fixed bed, aqueous solution of RhB dye was allowed to run in a down flow motion with a flow rate of 2 mL/min. After each 5 min time interval 10 mL aqueous effluent samples were collected. The dye concentration was determined by measuring characteristics absorbance of the dye.

The breakthrough curve [39] is plotted in terms of \( C/C_0 \) vs. time, where \( C \) is the concentration of effluent at time \( t \) and \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration influent dye solution. The volume of effluent, \( V_e/c \) can be calculated by using the following formula.

\[
V_e/c = \frac{C/C_0}{t_{breakthrough}}
\]
where $t$ (min) is the flow time, and $v$ (mL/min) is the flow rate.

$Q_{\text{total}}$ (mg) is the amount of dye adsorbed at time $t$, and it can be obtained from the area under the breakthrough curve. The area under breakthrough curve can be calculated by the integration (determined using software OriginPro8).

$$Q_{\text{total}} = v \int_{t=0}^{t} (C_0 - C_f) \, dt$$  

The total amount of dye ($m_{\text{total}}$) sent to the column at time $t$ can be calculated by:

$$m_{\text{total}} = C_0 v t$$

The percentage removal of dye $R$ (%) can be calculated as:

$$R = \frac{Q_{\text{total}}}{m_{\text{total}}} \times 100$$

The column adsorption capacity of the adsorbent $q_t$ (mg/g) at time $t$ can be determined by the following equation (determined using software OriginPro8).

$$q_t = \frac{v \int_{t=0}^{t} (C_0 - C_f) \, dt}{m}$$

where $m$ (g) is the amount of adsorbent used in column as fixed-bed.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Sample characterization

SEM contains information about the surface morphology and composition of the sample, and the image of the synthetic sample shows particle size and high pore structure [40]. Fig. 1 shows the SEM images of FMCS nanocomposites before and after adsorption. It can be seen from Fig. 1a and b that the material has spherical micromorphology before adsorption, with close adjacent, loose and porous materials and uneven depressions on the surface. Fig. 1c and d show the material after adsorption, indicating that the size does not change significantly. The depression on the surface before adsorption disappears, and the material presents a three-dimensional shape.

BET surface area analysis was used to determine the physicochemical characteristics of the FMCS. Fig. 2a displays the N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherm of FMCS. The adsorption isotherm belongs to type IV curve. A clear hysteresis line appears between $P/P_0$ and 0.6–1.0, which is caused by capillary condensation. The BET method (Fig. 2b) was used to determine the specific surface area and pore diameter of the FMCS, which is 24.8728 m$^2$/g and 27.2805 nm respectively, indicating that the pore size of FMCS are mainly mesopores. The pore-volume of FMCS is 0.1693 cm$^3$/g.

The EDS spectrum of FMCS nanocomposites is shown in Fig. 3. The results show that the composite contains iron, molybdenum, cobalt, and sulfur elements that all elements are evenly distributed in the composite materials.

Fig. 1. SEM images of FMCS nanocomposites, (a,b) before adsorption, (c,d) after adsorption.
The content of molybdenum in the sample is small, indicating that the molybdenum compound would not form a fixed crystal form.

3.2. Adsorption isotherm models and thermodynamics

Freundlich and Langmuir models were used to investigate the mechanism of the adsorption and to calculate the adsorption capacity [41]. Freundlich and Langmuir models and linear equations were used to study the adsorption performance of FMCS. Eqs. (6) and (7) are usually used to fit experimental results [42,43]. The corresponding parameters of the two models are shown in Table 2. Thermodynamic parameters can be obtained from Eqs. (8) and (9) [44,45].

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{C_i}{q_m} + \frac{1}{q_m K_L \times q_m}
\]  
\[
\ln q_e = \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e + \ln K_f
\]  
\[
\Delta G = -RT \ln K
\]  
\[
\ln K = \frac{-\Delta H}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S}{R}
\]

where \( C_i \) (mg/L) is the concentration after equilibrium adsorption; \( q_e \) (mg/g) is the adsorption capacity after equilibrium; \( q_m \) (mg/g) and \( K_L \) (L/mg) are the maximum adsorption capacity and constants calculated by Langmuir equation. \( K_f \) (mg/g), \( n \) is the adsorption constant of Freundlich equation. \( R \) is the general gas constant (8.314 J/(mol/K)), \( T \) is the temperature (K); \( K \) is the Langmuir adsorption constant of; \( \Delta S \) is the entropy change; \( \Delta G \) is the Gibbs free energy change; \( \Delta H \) is the enthalpy change.

Langmuir and Freundlich isothermal models were used to analyze the adsorption equilibrium. The adsorption results fitted by the models at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 1. It can be seen from Fig. 4b and c that the maximum \( R^2 \) of Langmuir model at different temperatures is 0.997, which is greater than the correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) of Freundlich isotherm model, indicating that Langmuir isotherm model can better describe the adsorption process. The results indicate that FMCS nanocomposites carry out monolayer adsorption and the adsorption sites on the adsorbent are evenly distributed. The Langmuir isotherm model shows that the maximum adsorption capacity of FMCS nanocomposites can reach 280.89 mg/g. By comparing the adsorption capacity of different adsorbents in Table 3, it can be concluded that FMCS nanocomposites have higher adsorption capacity for RhB compared with other adsorbents.
Thermodynamic analysis of adsorption is a necessary condition for correctly understanding and predicting adsorption mechanism. Fig. 4a shows that at the same temperature, the equilibrium adsorption capacity of RhB increases with the increase of equilibrium concentration. At the same equilibrium concentration, the equilibrium adsorption capacity increases with the increase of temperature, indicating that the adsorption performance of FMCS improves with the increase of temperature. According to the data in Table 1, the parameters can be calculated as shown in Table 2. The adsorption of RhB by FMCS is a spontaneous endothermic reaction, because of the $\Delta G < 0$, $\Delta S > 0$ and $\Delta H > 0$.

### Table 1
Values of various adsorption isotherm constants for the uptake of RhB at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherm model</th>
<th>Parameters (L/mg)</th>
<th>$q_e$ (mg/g)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$q_m$ (mg/g)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td>25°C</td>
<td>0.0303</td>
<td>251.25</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>1.3344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35°C</td>
<td>0.1722</td>
<td>271.73</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>1.6311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45°C</td>
<td>1.2447</td>
<td>280.89</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>2.4090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Freundlich       |                  |             |      |              |      |
|                  | $T$ (K) ≤ 35°C   | 0.0303      | 251.25 | 0.992        | 1.3344 |
|                  | 35°C             | 0.1722      | 271.73 | 0.997        | 1.6311 |
|                  | 45°C             | 1.2447      | 280.89 | 0.954        | 2.4090 |

Table 2
Adsortion thermodynamic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$\Delta G$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ (kJ/K mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FMCS</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>–4.65</td>
<td>111.41</td>
<td>15.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>–8.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
<td>–10.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Kinetic study

Adsorption kinetics describes the relationship between adsorption rate and efficiency. In order to further analyze the adsorption kinetics, linear and nonlinear adsorption kinetic models are used to describe the experimental data. The use of nonlinear models is to reduce the error caused by the conversion of nonlinear models to linear models [56,57].

Linear form of pseudo-first-order:

$$\log(q_e - q_t) = \log(q_e) - \frac{k_1 t}{2.303}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Linear form of pseudo-second-order:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
where \( q_e \) (mg/g) and \( q_t \) (mg/g) are the adsorption capacity of RhB at equilibrium and at any time, respectively; \( t \) (min) is the adsorption time. \( k_1 \) (min\(^{-1}\)) is the rate constant of quasi-first-order dynamics. \( k_2 \) (g/(mg min)) is the rate constant of pseudo-second-order dynamics.

In order to better understand the adsorption behavior of RhB on adsorbent, the effect of contact time by FMCS was studied. As shown in Fig. 5a, the adsorption capacity increases significantly, and then increases slowly with the extension of the contact time in the initial stage. The rapid adsorption of RhB at the beginning of the reaction is attributed to the existence of a large number of unoccupied adsorption sites on the adsorbent surface, which enables RhB to interact rapidly. When the active sites are gradually occupied, the adsorption process becomes slow and reaches equilibrium. In Fig. 5b, c and Table 4, when the initial concentration is 5 mg/L, the linear correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) of the pseudo-second-order kinetic equation is larger than that of the pseudo-first-order kinetic equation, indicating that the pseudo-second-order kinetic equation is suitable for describing the kinetic process. The good fitting with the pseudo-second-order model suggests the dominance of chemisorption.

### 3.4. Column adsorption study for removal of RhB

Fixed-bed column experiment has been carried out for comparison with batch technique for the removal of dye from wastewater. The RhB solution (80 mg/L) was allowed to pass through fixed-bed column at a flow rate of 2 mL/min. After 100 min, the effluent concentration reached to nearly 90% of the influent concentration, and the column started to get choked. Fig. 6 is obtained by plotting a graph between time of flow and \( C_t/C_0 \). According to the integral area, the calculated adsorption capacity of FMCS for RhB is 30.35 mg/g. In Tables 4 and 5, the column adsorption capacity \( q_e = 30.35 \) mg/g is higher than the batch adsorption capacity \( q_e = 27.86 \) mg/g.

#### 3.5. Effect of pH on RhB adsorption

The pH value of the solution is an important factor affecting the adsorption process, which mainly affects the adsorption process by changing the surface charge and charge state of the adsorbent [58]. Corresponding adsorption experiments at different pH values from 3 to 12 are shown in Fig. 7a. It can be seen that the adsorbent has significant adsorption properties at all pH values. When the pH increases from 3 to 8, the removal rate of RhB remains at a high level. In order to understand

![Fig. 6. Breakthrough curve and integral area of RhB on FMCS fixed-bed column.](image)

![Fig. 5. (a) Kinetic experimental data of RhB adsorption by FMCS, (b) plot for the pseudo-first-order, and (c) plot for the pseudo-second-order.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetics model pseudo-first-order</th>
<th>Pseudo-second-order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k_1 ) (min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>( q_e ) (mg/g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0548</td>
<td>2.9218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the mechanism behind these changes, zeta potentials of the adsorbents were measured, as shown in the Fig. 7b. According to the result of the zeta potential analysis of the adsorbent, the surface charge of the adsorbent is negative when $3 \leq \text{pH} \leq 8$, so there is a strong electrostatic attraction between the adsorbent and RhB. A high pH value is conducive for RhB adsorption due to the more obvious electrostatic attraction. When pH = 7, the removal rate of RhB is the highest, reaching 99.64%. For the higher alkaline pH ($9 \leq \text{pH} \leq 12$) values, the results differ and the adsorption of RhB decreases dramatically. It is explained by the fact that RhB exists in its zwitterion form at this range of pH, leading to the formation of dimers that aggregate along with a decrease of positive charge. Likewise, at high pH values beyond 9, the amount of the dyes adsorbed on the surface of the FMCS decreases. This may be due to the increasing number on Na$^+$ ions from the solution, which could compete with the cationic RhB in their basic forms for the equivalent active adsorption sites in the FMCS [48]. The zero point potential ($\text{pH}_{\text{pzc}}$) is 9.57, when $\text{pH} < \text{pH}_{\text{pzc}}$. In addition, the positive charge increases when $\text{pH} > \text{pH}_{\text{pzc}}$.

3.6. Effect of recycling of FMCS on adsorption

The recyclability of adsorbent is important to evaluate the performance. The removal efficiency of RhB by FMCS for the first time reaches 96.38%. The same adsorption steps were continued after the eluent was used to treat the adsorbent. The removal rate of RhB gradually decreases with the increase of the number of cycles. However, after five consecutive adsorption cycles, the removal rate of the adsorbent can still be maintained at 88.64%, indicating a good recyclability.

3.7. Adsorption mechanism

Fig. 8 shows the FTIR spectra of FMCS nanocomposite samples in the range of 4,000–500 cm$^{-1}$. There is a close binding relationship between RhB adsorption and surface functional groups of FMCS. The surface properties of adsorbents are affected by the types and numbers of functional groups identified by FTIR. At 3,478 cm$^{-1}$, the broadband band allocated to –NH$_2$ and –OH shifted right to 3,453 cm$^{-1}$, with stronger peak intensity, indicating the adsorption of –NH$_2$ and –OH on FMCS [59]. The 1,677–1,636 cm$^{-1}$ band is C–O stretching vibration in the carboxyl group, and all the carboxyl groups occur at very low intensity due to the high temperature generated in

![Fig. 7. (a) Effect of pH on RhB adsorption and (b) zeta potential dependent on the pH of adsorbent.

![Fig. 8. FTIR spectra of FMCS nanocomposites before and after adsorption.](image-url)
the combustion process [60]. In addition, due to humidity absorption, the low frequency band at 1,590 cm\(^{-1}\) can be considered as the bending vibration between the H\(_2\)O layers [61]. The variation of adsorption from 1,475 to 1,356 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to O–H bending, especially the O–H of phenol and COO\(^{-}\) of carboxyl groups [62]. The 1,163–1,151 cm\(^{-1}\) band is attributed to C=O stretching vibration of different functional groups [63], such as esters, ethers, and phenol. The peaks near 1,013 and 818 cm\(^{-1}\) reflect the stretching vibration of O=S=O and O–S–O in FMCS, respectively [64]. The peak at 718 cm\(^{-1}\) shows the stretching vibration of Mo–O [65]. In addition, a new absorption peak appears near 676 cm\(^{-1}\), which belongs to Fe–O stretching vibration, and the stretching vibration of O–H and C=O bonds in FMCS is slightly enhanced, indicating that the surface polarity of FMCS is high, which is conducive to the adsorption of RhB [66].

4. Conclusion

In this paper, FMCS nanocomposites were synthesized through a hydrothermal method. The preparation process is simple. The results show that the adsorbent has a good adsorption performance for organic dye (RhB) in wastewater. According to the calculation of Langmuir isotherm model, the maximum adsorption capacity is 280.89 mg/g. The adsorption equilibrium is analyzed by Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models. It can be seen that Langmuir isotherm model is better than Freundlich isotherm model in fitting experimental data. Thermodynamic parameters show that adsorption is a physical adsorption with spontaneous and endothermic characteristics. The column adsorption capacity is 30.35 mg/g, which is higher than the batch adsorption capacity. The pH\(_{\text{pzc}}\) is 9.57, and the maximum removal rate reaches as high as 99.64% at pH = 7. In addition, the adsorbent has a good recyclability, which indicates a potential for applications.
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