Adsorption of Zn\(^{2+}\) in wastewater by vinylamine modified weathered coal
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**Abstract**

Zinc adsorption was analyzed in a Xinjiang weathered coal (XWC) matrix, modified by four vinyl amines: diethylenetriamine (DETA), and triethylenetetramine (TETA), tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA), and pentaethylenehexamine (PEHA). Four aminated coal-based adsorbents, weathered coal modified by DETA (TAWC-1), weathered coal modified by TETA (TAWC-2), weathered coal modified by TEPA (PAWC), and weathered coal modified by PEHA (HAWC), were prepared by a cross-linking reaction combined with ultrasonic vibration and were characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and specific surface area and pore size distribution analysis. The four adsorbents were compared by examining the influence of pH, amount of adsorbent, reaction time, and other factors on the adsorption process. The results showed that the polyethyleneimine polyamine in vinylamine was successfully grafted onto the pulverized coal surface, the specific surface area and pore volume showed a decreasing trend with an increasing number of amino groups, the optimal pH values of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC are 12.0, 8.0, 8.0, 8.0, and 7.0, respectively, the optimal dosages are 1.3, 0.6, 0.5, 0.5, and 0.4 g, respectively and the optimal reaction times are 70, 30, 30, 25, and 25 min, respectively. The adsorption processes of Zn\(^{2+}\) by XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC were consistent with the pseudo-second-order kinetic model and Langmuir adsorption isotherm equation. The fitted saturated adsorption capacities of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC were 36.76, 109.89, 133.23, 163.93, and 192.31 mg/g, respectively, which were 3.0, 3.6, 4.6, and 5.2 higher than those before modification.
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**1. Introduction**

Zinc in wastewater mainly derives from industries such as electroplating and smelting [1]. High concentrations of zinc in wastewater can have adverse effects if the water is directly discharged into the environment without treatment. Studies have shown that excessive consumption of zinc in the human body can cause diseases such as copper deficiency and anemia [2] and that Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibits the expression of p53 in cancer cells [3], leading to a delay in the detection of cancer. Therefore, the effective treatment of zinc in wastewater is extremely important for public health and environmental safety. At present, the methods of treating zinc-containing wastewater include chemical precipitation [4], flotation [5], ion exchange [6], electrochemistry [7], and adsorption [8]. Among these methods, chemical precipitation and flotation involve a complex procedure whereby a pH change is induced by adding sodium hydroxide,
and ion exchange [9,10], and electrochemistry involves high operating costs and complex processes. The adsorption method, however, is simple and does not introduce secondary pollution; therefore, it is considered one of the most effective sewage treatment technologies [11].

At present, common adsorbents include activated carbon [12,13], zeolite [14,15], kaolin [16,17], and bentonite [18,19], carbon nanotubes [20,21]. Although they have their own advantages, they are typically expensive and have the problem of low adsorption capacity. For the treatment of industrial zinc-containing wastewater, a more economical and effective adsorbent should be found. Weathered coal has a wide range of sources and low prices and has some adsorption capacity [22,23]. It is often used to modify alkaline soil [24] or to improve the storage stability of asphalt [25,26]. Through modification, its adsorption capacity can be improved, and can therefore be used to treat industrial zinc-containing wastewater. As a low-cost adsorbent, it has great development value.

In this study, a simulation of zinc-containing wastewater adsorption was analyzed in a Xinjiang weathered coal (XWC) matrix, modified by four vinylamines: diethylenetriamine (DETA), triethylenetetramine (TETA), tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA), and pentaethylenehexamine (PEHA). Four aminated coal-based adsorbents, trimine weathered coal (TAWC-1), tetramine weathered coal (TAWC-2), pentamidine weathered coal (PAWC), and hexamine weathered coal (HAWC), were prepared by a cross-linking reaction combined with ultrasonic vibration. They were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), infrared (IR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and specific surface area and pore size distribution analysis. The four adsorbents were compared by examining the influence of pH, amount of adsorbent, reaction time, and other factors on the adsorption process, the innovation of this paper lies in the modification of weathered coal with DETA, TETA, TEPA, and PEHA, the influence of the number of amino groups on the modification effect is systematically compared for the first time, which provides a preliminary basis for the further modification of ethylene amine.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Reagents and major equipment

The materials used in this study were sodium hydroxide (AR grade), sulfuric acid (AR grade), zinc sulfate (AR grade), diethylenetriamine (DETA, AR grade), triethylenetetramine (TETA, AR grade), tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA, AR grade), and pentaethylenehexamine (PEHA, AR grade). Deionized water (electrical resistivity > 18.2 MΩ·cm) was used as the test water.

For the analysis, we used a JY92-2D ultrasonic cell disruptor (Ningbo Scientz Biotechnology Co. Ltd.), a Z-2000 atomic adsorption spectrometer (HITACHI, Japan), a SU-8000 high-resolution field emission scanning electron microscope (HITACHI, Japan), an ASIOM0002-6 specific surface area and pore size distribution tester (Quantachrome Instruments, US), a TENSOR27 infrared spectrometer (Bruker Optics), and an ESCALAB 250Xi X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US).

2.2. Preparation of adsorbents

5 g of XWC was weighed and placed in a 100 mL beaker, which was sealed with weighing paper and placed in an oven for thermostatic activation at 80°C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, 40 mL of deionized water was added, followed by 3 mL diethylenetriamine, 2.5 mL triethylenetetramine, and 2.5 mL tetraethylenepentamine, and 2 mL pentaethylenehexamine, respectively, and the solution was stirred to ensure thorough mixing. The beaker was then placed in an ultrasonic cell disruptor and, after adjusting the ultrasonic power, the solution oscillated for a certain period of time. After the reaction, the product was centrifuged, and the supernatant was discarded. The solid phase was repeatedly washed with deionized water until the supernatant was neutral. After drying, it was ground to less than 200 mesh (0.075 mm) to obtain four aminated coal-based adsorbents, which were placed into sealed bags for later use.

2.3. Adsorbent material characterization

2.3.1. SEM test

The dried samples were sprayed with gold, and the shape and morphology of their particles were observed with the scanning electron microscope (SEM) at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV.

2.3.2. Aperture distribution test

The samples were treated under a vacuum at 200°C for 12 h before the test. The Ni adsorption–desorption experiment was performed at ~196°C (using liquid nitrogen), and the specific surface areas of the samples were calculated by the BET (Brunauer–Emmet–Teller) model. The BJH (Barrett–Joyner–Halenda) equivalent cylinder model was used to calculate the pore size distribution and the total pore volume was determined by Ni adsorption at a relative pressure of 0.995.

2.3.3. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy test

The samples and KBr were taken, mixed evenly, tableted, and placed in a Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy to be tested in a wavenumber range of 4,000–400 cm⁻¹.

2.3.4. XPS test

AlKα radiation was used as the X-ray source, and a C 1s binding energy at 285.0 eV was used for calibration. The samples were then subjected to photoelectron spectroscopy.

2.4. Adsorption test

The adsorption test was carried out by the batch process method to study the effects of adsorbent dosage, system pH, reaction time, and initial ρ(Zn²⁺) of the solution on the adsorption process. The specific process was as follows: 50 mL of simulated wastewater at a certain concentration was added to a 100 mL beaker. A certain amount of adsorbent was then weighed and taken. The pH of the system...
was adjusted with 1 mol/L NaOH and 1 mol/L H₂SO₄ solution. After being placed on a magnetic stirrer and stirred for a period of time, the solution was transferred to a centrifuge tube for centrifugation for 10 min, a certain volume of supernatant was taken, and the ρ(Zn²⁺) was determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry to calculate the removal rate (R): Eq. (1) and adsorption quantity (Qₐ): Eq. (2).

\[
R = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)}{C_0} \times 100%
\]  

\[
Q_a = \frac{[(C_0 - C_e)V]}{m}
\]

where \(C_0\) (mg/L) and \(C_e\) (mg/L) are \(\rho(Zn^{2+})\) values of the solution at initiation and equilibrium respectively, \(R\) (%) is the removal rate of Zn²⁺, \(Q_a\) (mg/g) is the adsorption capacity at equilibrium, \(V\) (mL) is the solution volume, and \(m\) (g) is the adsorbent dosage.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Surface characterization

3.1.1. SEM analysis

SEM images of weathered coal before and after modification are shown in Fig. 1. Naturally weathered coal (XWC) has an irregular layered structure with a rough surface and large particles, triamine weathered coal (TAWC-1) shows clear rod-like structures, tetramine weathered coal (TAWC-2) has coexisting rod-like and layered structures, pentamidine weathered coal (PAWC) exhibits flaky structures with clear separation of layers, and hexamine weathered coal (HAWC) has irregular layered structures with closely linked layers. This shows that ultrasonic oscillation removes the impurities in the pores of coal powder and that vinylamine is adhesive. According to the changes observed

Fig. 1. SEM spectrogram for weathered coal: (a) XWC, (b) TAWC-1, (c) TAWC-2, (d) PAWC, and (e) HAWC.
from panels b to e, the greater the number of amino groups, the stronger the adhesiveness of vinylamine; therefore, the smaller the specific surface area.

3.1.2. BET analysis

Table 1 shows the pore size distribution data of weathered coal before and after modification. Fig. 2 shows the N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm curves of weathered coal before and after modification. It is clear that all weathered coal has a pore size of approximately 3.83 nm, which belongs to the category of mesoporous materials (Table 1). With an increasing number of amino groups, the specific surface area of the aminated coal-based adsorbent decreases from 3.729 to 1.624 m²/g, and the pore volume decreases from 0.006 to 0.003 cm³/g. This result is consistent with the SEM analysis and shows that the active groups in vinylamine entered the pores and adhered to the surface of pulverized coal simultaneously, resulting in the decrease of specific surface area and pore volume.

3.1.3. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy analysis

Fig. 3 shows the IR spectra of weathered coal before and after modification. XWC shows adsorption peaks at 3,428; 1,716; 1,586; 1,354; 1,244 and 790 cm⁻¹. Among them, the broad peaks at 3,428 cm⁻¹ come from the stretching vibration of –OH and the stretching vibration of –NH [27]. The spike at 1,716 cm⁻¹ relates to the stretching vibration of –C=O, the spike at 1,586 cm⁻¹ is from the bending vibration of –NH, the spike at 1,354 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the symmetrical stretching vibration of –CH, the spike at 1,244 cm⁻¹ comes from the stretching vibration of –OH, and the spike at 790 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the bending vibration of –CH. Compared with XWC, the peaks at 1,716 and 1,244 cm⁻¹ disappear in the modified amine-based weathered coal, and the peak intensity at 3,428 cm⁻¹ is reduced. With an increasing number of amino groups, the magnitude of the blue shift of the –NH peak at 1,586 cm⁻¹ increases gradually, and the –OH peak at 1,244 cm⁻¹ disappears gradually after the redshift. This indicates that the polyethylene polyamine in vinylamine chemically reacts with –C=O and –OH on the surface of the weathered coal, resulting in the masking of these two functional groups.

3.1.4. XPS analysis

Table 2 shows the element contents of the weathered coal before and after modification. Fig. 4 shows the XPS spectrum of weathered coal before and after modification. Both before and after modification, the weathered coal exhibits

---

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm³/g)</th>
<th>Pore size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XWC</td>
<td>6.875</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>3.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAWC-1</td>
<td>3.729</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>3.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAWC-2</td>
<td>3.440</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAWC</td>
<td>1.709</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>3.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAWC</td>
<td>1.624</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>3.830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atomic %</th>
<th>Cₜ,</th>
<th>Oₜ,</th>
<th>Nₜ,</th>
<th>Sₛ₂,</th>
<th>Siₛ₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XWC</td>
<td>72.64</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAWC-1</td>
<td>71.82</td>
<td>21.17</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAWC-2</td>
<td>70.87</td>
<td>20.95</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAWC</td>
<td>70.37</td>
<td>20.55</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAWC</td>
<td>69.57</td>
<td>20.04</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
O\textsubscript{1s}, N\textsubscript{1s}, C\textsubscript{1s}, S\textsubscript{2p\textsubscript{1}}, and Si\textsubscript{2p\textsubscript{1}} characteristic peaks at 531.46, 400.08, 282.74, 153.36, and 101.21 eV (Fig. 4). With increasing amino groups, the intensity of the N\textsubscript{1s} peak gradually increases. The N content in the aminated coal-based adsorbent gradually increases and the C and O contents gradually decrease (Table 2), indicating that the amino groups in the vinylamine react with –C=O and –OH during modification, and vinylamine is successfully grafted to the surface of the pulverized coal. This result is consistent with the IR result.

3.2. Factors affecting adsorption

3.2.1. Adsorbent dosage

The effect of adsorbent dosage on Zn\textsuperscript{2+} removal from wastewater is shown in Fig. 5. The removal rate of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} by weathered coal before and after modification first increases and then stabilizes. The optimal dosages of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC are 1.3, 0.6, 0.5, 0.5, and 0.4 g, respectively. When ρ(Zn\textsuperscript{2+}) is constant in the wastewater, the number of adsorption sites in the solution and the removal rate increase with increasing adsorbent dosage. When the adsorbent dosage increases to a certain extent, a shielding effect forms among the periphery of adsorbent particles preventing the binding of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} to adsorption sites [28], and the removal rate tends to plateau.

3.2.2. System pH

Fig. 6 shows the effect of system pH on Zn\textsuperscript{2+} removal. XWC has a high removal rate in a pH range of 5–12 and a maximum removal rate at a pH of 12. The modified weathered coal and HAWC have high removal rates in a pH range of 4–12. The optimal pH values of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC are 12.0, 8.0, 8.0, 8.0, and 7.0, respectively. The effect of pH on Zn\textsuperscript{2+} adsorption is mainly related to the surface charge characteristics of the adsorbent. When it’s in the smaller pH range, a large amount of free H\textsuperscript{+} in the solution protonates –NH on the surface of weathered coal to –NH\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} and electrostatic repulsion produced by Zn\textsuperscript{2+} results in a low removal rate [29]. When it’s in the larger pH range, protonation no longer occurs and the Zn\textsuperscript{2+} floats to the surface of the adsorbent driven by the gravitational force of the charge [30]. As a result, the removal rate increases and finally becomes balanced.

3.2.3. Reaction time

Fig. 7 shows the effect of different reaction times on the adsorption effect at 25°C, 30°C, and 35°C. The influence of temperature on the adsorption process is relatively small; the maximum removal rate can be achieved at room temperature, and the removal rate increases with temperature. Therefore, all adsorption experiments were carried...
out at room temperature. Weathered coal adsorption of Zn\(^{2+}\) occurs in two stages: the early stage during which adsorption is rapid (0–20 min) and the mid to late-stage during which adsorption is slow (25–80 min). The optimal reaction times of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC are 70, 30, 30, 25, and 25 min, respectively. In the early rapid stage, the concentration of Zn\(^{2+}\) at the interface of the weathered coal and wastewater is relatively high, resulting in substantial adsorption, and Zn\(^{2+}\) quickly occupies the adsorption sites on the outer surface of the pulverized coal. When Zn\(^{2+}\) enters the internal pores of pulverized coal, the interface \(\rho(Zn^{2+})\) decreases, resulting in weaker adsorption kinetics and a decrease in removal rate.

### 3.2.4. Adsorption kinetics

In order to better explain the adsorption process of Zn\(^{2+}\) by weathered coal before and after modification, the adsorption processes at 25°C were fitted by pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic equations, and their kinetic parameters were compared to determine the adsorption rate control procedure and adsorption mechanism during adsorption.

#### 3.2.4.1. Pseudo-first-order kinetic model

The pseudo-first-order kinetic is generally the initial stage of the adsorption process, which is mainly monolayer adsorption by boundary diffusion and reflects the proportional relationship between the adsorption rate and the concentration of reactive species. The pseudo-first-order kinetic model can be illustrated as follows [31]:

\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = k_1(Q_e - Q_t)
\]  

By integrating both sides of equation (3), the following equation can be obtained:

![Graphs showing the effects of contact time on Zn\(^{2+}\) removal. Initial \(\rho(Zn^{2+}) = 600 \text{ mg/L; } m(XWC) = 1.3 \text{ g, } pH(XWC) = 12.0; m(TAWC-1) = 0.6 \text{ g, } pH(TAWC-1) = 8.0; m(TAWC-2) = 0.5 \text{ g; } pH(TAWC-2) = 8.0; m(PAWC) = 0.5 \text{ g; } pH(PAWC) = 8.0; m(HAWC) = 0.4 \text{ g; } pH(PAWC) = 7.0.]

Fig. 7. Effects of contact time on Zn\(^{2+}\) removal.

\[
\log(Q_e - Q_t) = \log(Q_e) - k_1 t
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \(Q_e\) (mg/g) and \(Q_t\) (mg/g) are the adsorption capacities at equilibrium and at time \(t\) (min) respectively, and \(k_1\) (min\(^{-1}\)) is a rate constant of first-order adsorption. The fitting results are shown in Fig. 8 and the parameters are shown in Table 3. For the five materials, the \(R^2\) value of the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is not close to 1. Therefore, the experimental data were further fitted with the second-order kinetic model.

3.2.4.2. Pseudo-second-order kinetic model

It is assumed that the adsorbent and adsorbent react chemically, and the adsorption rate is controlled by the mechanism of chemisorption. On this basis, a quasi-second-order adsorption kinetic model is established, in which electrons

![Fig. 8. Kinetic fitting curve of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC to Zn\(^{2+}\) adsorption. (a) Pseudo-first-order and (b) pseudo-second-order kinetic equations.](image_url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model type</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Model constants</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first-order kinetic equation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>XWC</td>
<td>(y = -0.0142x - 1.0411)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 0.0327) (k_1 = 0.0910)</td>
<td>0.3483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TAWC-1</td>
<td>(y = -0.0274x - 0.8787)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 0.1322) (k_1 = 0.0631)</td>
<td>0.5305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TAWC-2</td>
<td>(y = -0.0244x - 1.1014)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 0.0792) (k_1 = 0.0562)</td>
<td>0.4268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PAWC</td>
<td>(y = -0.0208x - 1.1363)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 0.0731) (k_1 = 0.0479)</td>
<td>0.2883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAWC</td>
<td>(y = -0.0193x - 0.8429)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 0.1436) (k_1 = 0.0444)</td>
<td>0.3970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second-order kinetic equation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>XWC</td>
<td>(y = 0.0526x + 0.0011)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 19.01) (k_2 = 2.5156)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TAWC-1</td>
<td>(y = 0.0286x + 0.0010)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 34.97) (k_2 = 8.177)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TAWC-2</td>
<td>(y = 0.0239x + 0.0006)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 41.84) (k_2 = 9.520)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PAWC</td>
<td>(y = 0.0226x + 0.0005)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 44.25) (k_2 = 1.0215)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAWC</td>
<td>(y = 0.0180x + 0.0003)</td>
<td>(Q_e = 55.56) (k_2 = 1.0800)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(Q_e\) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg/g); \(k_1\) is the pseudo-first-order kinetic model constant (min\(^{-1}\)); \(k_2\) is the pseudo-second-order kinetic model constant (g/(mg min)).
are gained and lost or shared. The pseudo-second-order kinetic model is described as [32]:

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = k_2(Q_e - Q)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

By integrating both sides of Eq. (5), the following equation can be obtained:

$$t = \left(\frac{1}{k_2Q_e^2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{Q_e}\right)t$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \(Q_e\) (mg/g) and \(Q\) (mg/g) are the adsorption capacities at equilibrium and at time \(t\) (min) respectively, and \(k_2\) (min\(^{-1}\)) is a rate constant of second-order adsorption.

The fitting results are shown in Fig. 8 and the parameters are shown in Table 3. For the five materials, the \(R^2\) value of the pseudo-second-order kinetic model is 1, which is higher than that of other kinetic models. The theoretical adsorption capacities of the four modified materials calculated by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model are 34.97, 41.84, 44.25, and 55.56 mg/g respectively, which is closer to the actual adsorption capacity. Therefore, the pseudo-second-order kinetic model more suitably describes the kinetics of the entire Zn\(^{2+}\) adsorption process. It shows that the adsorption process includes external liquid film diffusion, surface adsorption, and intraparticle diffusion. Chemical adsorption is the adsorption rate control step in the adsorption process [33].

### 3.2.5. Initial \(\rho(Zn^{2+})\)

The effects of different initial \(\rho(Zn^{2+})\) on Zn\(^{2+}\) removal from wastewater by XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC are shown in Fig. 9. The removal rates of Zn\(^{2+}\) by TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC in the initial \(\rho(Zn^{2+})\) range from 200 to 1,000 mg/L, stabilizes above 96%, and decrease with an increase in initial \(\rho(Zn^{2+})\). With an initial \(\rho(Zn^{2+}) > 600\) mg/L, the removal rate of Zn\(^{2+}\) by XWC decreases rapidly. Due to the limited adsorption sites per unit adsorbent, the increase of Zn\(^{2+}\) concentration increases the difference in ion concentration around the adsorption sites, promotes mass transfer, and the removal rate remains constant. With an increase in the amount of Zn\(^{2+}\), the adsorption sites become gradually occupied to reach a state of saturation, resulting in a decrease in removal rate [34].

### 3.2.6. Isotherm models

In order to better describe the distribution of Zn\(^{2+}\) in the solution and adsorbent when the adsorption of Zn\(^{2+}\) by weathered coal before and after modification reaches equilibrium, the Langmuir and Freundlich isothermal adsorption models were used for linear fitting [35,36].

#### 3.2.6.1. Freundlich isotherm

Freundlich adsorption isothermal equation is an empirical equation, which takes into account the energy heterogeneity of the adsorbent surface, and assumes that the adsorption sites on the adsorbent surface are not evenly distributed and the energy of the adsorption sites is not the same. It describes the adsorption process as uneven multilayer adsorption, and the particles interact after adsorption. Also applies to physical adsorption and chemical adsorption, but mainly the physical adsorption process. The mathematical expression of the Freundlich model can be written as [37]:

$$Q_v = K_F \cdot C_r^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Eq. (7) can be linearized in logarithmic form, and the Freundlich constants can be determined as follows:

$$\log Q_v = \log K_F + n \log C_r$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \(K_F\) is the Freundlich constant related to adsorption capacity, \(n\) is the energy or intensity of adsorption, and \(C_r\) is the equilibrium concentration of Zn\(^{2+}\) (mg/L). The fitting results are shown in Fig. 10 and the parameters are shown in Table 4. The \(R^2\) of the Freundlich isothermal adsorption model is not closer to 1.

#### 3.2.6.2. Langmuir isotherm

Langmuir adsorption isotherm model is currently the most widely used adsorption isotherm model, applicable to physical adsorption and chemical adsorption types, and its equation is shown in Eq. (9) [38]:

$$\frac{C_r}{Q_v} = \frac{1}{Q_m b} + \frac{C_r}{Q_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Fig. 9. Effects of initial concentration on Zn\(^{2+}\) removal. The adsorption temperature = 298 K; \(m(XWC) = 1.3\) g; pH(XWC) = 12.0; \(t(XWC) = 70\) min; \(m(TAWC-1) = 0.6\) g; pH(TAWC-1) = 8.0; \(t(TAWC-1) = 30\) min; \(m(TAWC-2) = 0.5\) g; pH(TAWC-2) = 8.0; \(t(TAWC-2) = 30\) min; \(m(PAWC) = 0.5\) g; pH(PAWC) = 8.0; \(t(PAWC) = 25\) min; \(m(HAWC) = 0.4\) g; pH(HAWC) = 7.0; \(t(HAWC) = 25\) min.
where $Q_e$ is the amount adsorbed at equilibrium concentration $C_e$, $Q_m$ is the Langmuir constant representing maximum monolayer adsorption capacity, and $b$ is the Langmuir constant related to the energy of adsorption.

The $R^2$ of the Langmuir isothermal adsorption model is closer to 1, which better describes the adsorption of Zn$^{2+}$ by XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC (Fig. 10 and Table 4). The adsorption of Zn$^{2+}$ by XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC is predominantly monomolecular layer adsorption and the lateral force among Zn$^{2+}$ at different adsorption sites is zero. From the fitting calculation, the saturated adsorption capacity of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC is 36.76, 109.89, 133.23, 163.93, and 192.31 mg/g, respectively, and the saturated adsorption capacity of weathered coal after modification is 3.0, 3.6, 4.6, and 5.2 times higher than that before modification, respectively. Bashir et al. reported that the adsorption capacity of PSP biosorbent material for zinc ions in aqueous media.

Fig. 10. Fitting curves of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC adsorption of Zn$^{2+}$: (a) Langmuir model and (b) Freundlich model.

Table 4
Adsorption isotherm model parameters of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC adsorption of Zn$^{2+}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model type</th>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Model constants</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir isothermal adsorption model</td>
<td>XWC</td>
<td>$y = 0.0272x + 1.0612$</td>
<td>$Q_{max} = 36.76$</td>
<td>0.9993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAWC-1</td>
<td>$y = -0.0091x + 0.2337$</td>
<td>$Q_{max} = 109.89$</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAWC-2</td>
<td>$y = -0.0075x + 0.0359$</td>
<td>$Q_{max} = 133.23$</td>
<td>0.9955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAWC</td>
<td>$y = -0.0061x - 0.2556$</td>
<td>$Q_{max} = 163.93$</td>
<td>0.9808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAWC</td>
<td>$y = -0.0052x + 0.0679$</td>
<td>$Q_{max} = 192.31$</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XWC</td>
<td>$y = 0.2496x + 0.8280$</td>
<td>$n = 4.0064$</td>
<td>0.8358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAWC-1</td>
<td>$y = 0.2782x + 1.2750$</td>
<td>$n = 3.5945$</td>
<td>0.7487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAWC-2</td>
<td>$y = 0.2819x + 1.3212$</td>
<td>$n = 3.5474$</td>
<td>0.8346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAWC</td>
<td>$y = 0.2965x + 1.3880$</td>
<td>$n = 3.3727$</td>
<td>0.8117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAWC</td>
<td>$y = 0.2659x + 1.6031$</td>
<td>$n = 3.7608$</td>
<td>0.7860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** $Q_{max}$ is the monolayer saturated adsorption capacity of the adsorbent (mg/g); $K_L$ is the equilibrium constant related to the affinity of the binding site (L/mg); $n$ is the Freundlich constant representing the adsorption strength; $K_F$ is the Freundlich constant representing the adsorption capacity of adsorbent (L/mg)$^{1/n}$/(mg g).

The isothermal adsorption model is closer to 1, which better describes the adsorption of Zn$^{2+}$ by XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC (Fig. 10 and Table 4). The adsorption of Zn$^{2+}$ by XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC is predominantly monomolecular layer adsorption and the lateral force among Zn$^{2+}$ at different adsorption sites is zero. From the fitting calculation, the saturated adsorption capacity of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC is 36.76, 109.89, 133.23, 163.93, and 192.31 mg/g, respectively, and the saturated adsorption capacity of weathered coal after modification is 3.0, 3.6, 4.6, and 5.2 times higher than that before modification, respectively. Bashir et al. reported that the adsorption capacity of PSP biosorbent material for zinc ions in aqueous media.
was 130.54 mg/g [39]. Neethu et al. studied the adsorption capacity of PKM-2 from moringa oleic leaves for Zn (II) in aqueous solution, and its maximum adsorption capacity was 38.50 mg/g [40]. Ngabura et al. [41] investigated the adsorption of Zn (II) in simulated wastewater by hydrochloric acid-modified durian peel (HAMDP), with a maximum adsorption capacity of 36.73 mg/g. Compared with the above four sorbents, the four sorbents prepared in this experiment have better adsorption capacity for Zn²⁺, simple preparation, and low cost, they are better absorbents.

4. Adsorption mechanism

In the kinetic data, the correlation coefficient R² of the pseudo-second-order kinetic model is closer to 1, and the fitting result of the equilibrium adsorption capacity is closer to the experimental value. Therefore, the pseudo-second-order kinetic model is more suitable to describe the whole adsorption process, indicating that the adsorption process includes external liquid film diffusion, surface adsorption, intraparticle diffusion, etc. Chemisorption is the rate control step of the adsorption process, and the adsorption conforms to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm equation, indicating the adsorption of Zn²⁺ by the four sorbents is mainly monolayer adsorption, and the lateral force between Zn²⁺ at different adsorption sites is zero.

5. Conclusions

The adsorption of zinc by unmodified and modified weathered coal was analyzed and four adsorbents were compared by examining the influence of pH, amount of adsorbent, reaction time, and other factors on the adsorption process. The following conclusions were drawn:

- The characterization results show that the polyethyleneimine in the vinylamine was successfully grafted onto the surface of the pulverized coal after reaction with –C=O and –OH on the surface of the weathered coal. Moreover, with an increasing number of amino groups, the specific surface area, and pore volume showed a decreasing trend, while the aperture remained essentially unchanged.
- By examining the system pH, adsorbent dosage, initial ρ(Zn²⁺), and other conditions, the four types of modified weathered coal were confirmed to have a removal rate over 96% in Zn²⁺-containing wastewater with concentrations ≤1,000 mg/L under optimal adsorption conditions.
- The adsorption processes of Zn²⁺ by XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC were consistent with the pseudo-second-order kinetic model and the Langmuir adsorption isotherm equation. The saturated adsorption capacities of XWC, TAWC-1, TAWC-2, PAWC, and HAWC were 36.76, 109.89, 133.23, 163.93, and 192.31 mg/g, respectively, which were 3.0, 3.6, 4.6, and 5.2 times higher than those before modification.
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